



Rights and Freedom

Bulletin

Freedom:
It's not
just a word...
It's a way of life.

<http://Bulletin.RightsAndFreedom.org>

When Will Common Sense Return?

Harmony Community School, FL, Suspends 8-year-old boy

[Text like this](#) is a link to online content. These links are provided to give you easy access to the original news story or other relevant information.

[School administrators at Harmony Community School](#) in Harmony, Florida, suspended 8-year-old Jordan Bennett after he committed the heinous crime of, well, being a boy.

The young lad dared point his finger and say “Bang!”

This violated the school’s policy against “invisible guns”. Yes, you read that correctly. Harmony Community School actually has a written policy specifically devoted to the issue and danger of “invisible guns”. How utterly absurd.

“He had nothing in his hand. It was a finger gun, a pretend gun,” said Bonnie Bennett, Jordan’s mother. *“He didn’t threaten violence. He didn’t utter words that were inappropriate. He made a sound and used his fingers and that was it.”*

This is “Zero Tolerance” in action, or as I more appropriately call it, Zero Common Sense and Zero Justice. Were I an 8-year-old boy in today’s climate of intolerance of childhood I would no doubt be medicated into submission and obedience by a system that refuses to allow children to *be children*.

When we were kids my brother and I played “Cowboys and Indians” all the time.

Just speaking the name of the game today is probably enough to have a human rights complaint filed against me!

We desperately need to find our lost common sense. Eight-year-olds are not “terrorists” or “criminals” and should not be treated as such.

Children must be allowed to be children. God knows we crush the imagination out of them fast enough in our rush to “assimilate” them into society. Let them have a few years of childhood first, okay?

Yours in Liberty,

Christopher

In This Issue

- Mark and Connie Fornier Lose Warman Defamation Suit 2
- Violent Criminals: You’d Best Leave Betty Collins Alone 3
- More on the LavaBit Encrypted Email Service Shutdown due to US Government “Interference” 4
- Hypocrites of the Week: Freemen On The Land Violate the Property Rights of Others 5
- Canadian Constitution Foundation and Bruce and Donna Montague Need Your Help 6
- What have the Conservatives done for us lately? 7
- by Allan Rostic, President, The Sporting Clubs of Niagara 7
- Canadians Forced to Provide DNA Sample When Arrested? 8
- Two finally charged in relation to Rehtaeh Parsons’s 2011 gang-rape 9

Freedom of Speech

Mark and Connie Fournier (FreeDominion.ca) Lose Richard Warman Defamation Suit

The ongoing battle for our Charter Right to Freedom of Speech and Expression on the Internet took another heavy hit this week. The Ontario libel and defamation case is Richard Warman v Mark and Connie Fournier, FreeDominion.ca and John Does, and throughout the proceedings the judge refused to allow the defendants to present evidence critical to their defense.

If you never heard of this case, don't be surprised. The mainstream media has completely ignored the Fourniers and their battle for Freedom of Speech. Even Freedom of Speech advocate Ezra Levant hasn't covered the case, which is a serious blow to his credibility on this issue.

The ruling, combined with a motion by Richard Warman that the defendants be held liable for any mention of his name on their website, good or bad, means the Fourniers are seriously considering shutting down their website after 10 years.

Arthur Topham, who also faces legal challenges brought about by Richard Warman, wrote the following:

If Mark and Connie Fournier, owners of the Free Dominion.ca forum, decide not to appeal this ruling and challenge the overtly treasonous behaviour of the judge who stood in the way of justice then we're in for a rough ride ahead. It will mean another forum succumbs to the Orwellian control freaks and Canadians are left bereft of their fundamental right to self-expression.

In a post titled "[Appeal or Close Free Dominion?](#)" Mark Fournier wrote:

Today we took a beating from the jury who heard the case of Richard Warman v. Fourniers and John Does. Their decision was completely unexpected (at least by me) so now we must re-evaluate our position.

The jury decided that we had not taken down the complained of posts fast enough so we were therefore responsible for all the posts made by third parties. This put us in a position where we had no defenses to protect ourselves. We weren't allowed to put in evidence to prove the truth of many of the facts (such as the David Icke video), we weren't allowed to say what we believed commenters were referring to in their comments, and we couldn't testify to the state of mind, or motivation of, anonymous posters. We were held responsible for the words of others and systematically stripped of every possible defense.

There is something very wrong with a law, or set of laws, that makes it impossible for a defendant to defend himself. This problem becomes even greater when someone can be put into that kind of impossible situation due to the words of another.

Everyone in Canada who operates a forum or blog that allows for public commenting had better take note. Every negative comment made by anyone that appears on their forum or blog makes them a target. Look at how mild the complained of comments in this case are compared to the standard fare that appears all over the internet, and see how they measure up against anything on a site you may operate.

Warman is going to ask the judge for an injunction against us ordering that nothing negative can ever be said about him on any website we operate. Think about that. With that injunction in place, anyone could go onto a site we operate and post something negative about Warman and take a screen shot of the post. The two of us would then go directly to jail for violating the injunction, without any possible way to defend ourselves.

This injunction would be permanent. This means that because we operated a forum where this kind of dispute happened we are blackballed from operating a forum anywhere in the world for the rest of our lives.

So now we must decide what to do. We believe we have at least five strong grounds for appeal so we are ready to proceed if we so decide.

If we do not appeal we will have no choice but to shut down Free Dominion as soon as the injunction is issued against us. The injunction is an open invitation to abuse and we have no doubt our vulnerability will be exploited to great effect.

Self Defense

Attention Violent Criminals: You'd Best Leave Betty Collins Alone

Betty Collins lives in Toledo, Ohio. She is currently working on her PhD in Criminal Justice from The University of Toledo and is, I would suggest, one tough cookie.

One could easily make the argument she lives in a “less than great” part of town given the spate of break-ins and home invasions she along suffered, yet none of that perturbs the feisty redhead.

“I’m not moving. This is my home. I will continue to protect my home. That’s what the Second Amendment is for.”

Kyle Caldwell discovered first-hand the error of his ways this past May when he broke into Betty’s car and then figured a home invasion was the next item on his agenda. About 5am on the morning of May 16 Caldwell [attempted to kick in her front door](#). In response Betty Collins yelled at the idiot to stop, and then pulled her .357 Magnum revolver and held the moron at gunpoint, just so he knew she was serious.

He stopped and planted his face in the dirt as Collins ordered, and stayed that way until police arrived a short time later to arrest the 31-year-old would-be home invader.



An ounce of prevention, a pound of cure

Worried about being charged with unsafe storage or transportation of a firearm?

Get unlimited telephone legal advice plus access to a lawyer for just \$95 a year. Click for info.

www.firearmlegaldefence.com

Enter **CRF002** to save \$10



What You Must Know Before You Carry...

Get your **Free Copy** of the Concealed Carry Report and protect your home and family before it's too late...



CONCEALED CARRY
REPORT

Instant Access

Privacy Rights

More on the LavaBit Encrypted Email Service Shutdown due to US Gov't "Interference"

In [Canadian Rights and Freedoms Bulletin #148](#) I wrote about the shutdown of Lavabit's encrypted email service. Ladar Levinson, the owner and operator of Lavabit had a choice to make: either hand over the keys to his encrypted email service or shut down his company. Levinson chose to honour his own integrity, the United States Constitution and the privacy rights of his clients instead of caving to pressure from the US Government.

"I have been forced to make a difficult decision: to become complicit in crimes against the American people or walk away from nearly 10 years of hard work by shutting down Lavabit," Levison posted at Lavabit.com.

"After significant soul searching, I have decided to suspend operations."

It is humbling and refreshing to see a man act with such integrity against overwhelming pressure and at great personal cost. If you can afford it, please help fund Levinson's fight for Privacy Rights by [donating here](#).

Kevin Poulsen, [writing for Wired.com](#), explains the case and the court decision, and in doing so allows we "mere citizens" to peek behind the curtain of a government dedicated to violating the highest law of the land and our precious Right to Privacy along with it.

The U.S. government in July obtained a search warrant demanding that Edward Snowden's e-mail provider, Lavabit, turn over the private SSL keys that protected all web traffic to the site, according to newly unsealed documents.

The name of the target is redacted from the unsealed records, but the offenses under investigation are listed as violations of the Espionage Act and theft of government property — the exact charges that have been filed against NSA whistleblower Snowden in the same Virginia court.

The filings show that Lavabit was served on June 28 with a so-called "pen register" order requiring it to record, and provide the government with, the e-mail "from" and "to" lines on every e-mail, as well as the IP address used to access the mailbox. Because they provide only metadata, pen register orders can be obtained without "probable cause" that the target has committed a crime.

In the standard language for such an order, it required Lavabit to provide all "technical assistance necessary to accomplish the installation and use of the pen/trap device"

A conventional e-mail provider can easily funnel email headers to the government in response to such a request. But Lavabit offered paying customers a secure email service that stores incoming messages encrypted to a key known only to that user. Lavabit itself did not have access.

Lavabit founder Ladar Levison balked at the demand, and the government filed a motion to compel Lavabit to comply. Lavabit told the feds that the user had "enabled Lavabit's encryption services, and thus Lavabit would not provide the requested information," the government wrote.

"The representative of Lavabit indicated that Lavabit had the technical capability to decrypt the information, but that Lavabit did not want to 'defeat [its] own system,'" the government complained.

U.S. Magistrate Judge Theresa Buchanan immediately ordered Lavabit to comply, threatening Levison with criminal contempt — which could have potentially put him in jail.

By July 9, Lavabit still hadn't defeated its security for the government, and prosecutors asked for a summons to be served for Lavabit, and founder Ladar Levison, to be held in contempt "for its disobedience and resistance to these lawful orders."

A week later, prosecutors upped the ante and obtained the search warrant demanding "all information necessary to decrypt communications sent to or from the Lavabit e-mail account [redacted] including encryption keys and SSL keys."

With the SSL keys, and a wiretap, the FBI could have decrypted all web sessions between Lavabit users and the site, though the documents indicate the bureau still trying only to capture metadata on one user.

Property Rights

Hypocrites of the Week: Freemen On The Land Violate the Property Rights of Others

The idea of being a sovereign citizen is attractive, I must admit, but the very essence of the movement is to reclaim rights stripped away by government. So how does a group of hypocrites 80 km south and east of Grande Prairie, Alberta, square their self-proclaimed “*Freemen*” status with the violation of another person’s Rights, namely unreasonable search and seizure and property rights?

Well, they can’t. They aren’t “*Freemen*” at all; they’re merely common criminals with no integrity whatsoever. These people took control of an unnamed trapper’s cabin and refuse to leave. They’ve essentially stolen the private property and work of another and now claim it as their own.

They do themselves and their movement a great disservice with this absurd property rights violation.

[Lorne Gunter put it best](#) when he wrote:

The ridiculousness and hypocrisy of the Freeman philosophy is never more apparent than in its members’ utter disregard for other people’s property.

They claim to believe the only laws that can be morally enforced are the law of contract and the common law.

Okay, I’m enough of a libertarian that I can buy that.

But the common law against trespassing would strictly prohibit the squatting the Freemen are doing in the woods near Grande Prairie. Moreover, what contract do they have with the trapper whose cabin they are occupying without his permission?

Indeed, far from respecting the trapper’s property, the current bunch of fringe actors have apparently threatened the rightful owner with guns should he try to take his property back.

Police, for their part, refuse to do anything about this crime in progress. In essence, they’re victimizing the unnamed trapper a second time, by not evicting and arresting the criminals currently inhabiting his property.

“We’re working to look at how large of an area and how many properties or structures have been affected by this group,” said Corporal Roy Kennedy. *“That’s the highest threat we can face when firearms are involved.”*

Uh huh.

That this all unfolds in Alberta is no real surprise. The RCMP in Alberta are masters at violating the property rights of *mere citizens*, so sitting idly by and watching while a group of nutbars does what they do, albeit with far less pomp and circumstance, must be fun for them.

“We’re used to protecting ourselves against predators and nature and the environment. We sign on for that,” [said Brian Bildson](#) of the Grande Prairie Trappers’ Association.

“We don’t sign on for a bunch of crazy guys running through the bush, thinking they can declare a nation out there.”

“It shouldn’t take weeks or months to resolve. If this was your home and you called the RCMP, they would react much more quickly.”

How true. If it was an RCMP member’s home Canada’s national police force would **be there in a heartbeat** to take down the offenders and make an example out of them.

Or, as in the High River case, those same RCMP members would go out of their way NOT to kick in the doors of fellow RCMP members, but would confine their criminal acts to the homes on all sides.

Once again the RCMP in Alberta do themselves and the citizens of Alberta a great disservice.

First, by grossly violating their property rights in High River, and second, by refusing to defend their property rights when some self-proclaimed “*Freemen*” squat on property they neither own nor have permission to use.

Civil Asset Forfeiture

Canadian Constitution Foundation and Bruce and Donna Montague Need Your Help

The Canadian Constitution Foundation announced on April 17, 2013 that it would provide legal counsel to Bruce and Donna Montague of Dryden, Ontario in their ongoing legal battle against government efforts to seize virtually all of their assets. Their court hearing is now scheduled for November 15, 2013, at Queen's Park in Toronto.

Bruce Montague was a licensed gunsmith and firearms dealer who believed that Canada's gun licensure laws were unconstitutional. He deliberately allowed his firearms licences to expire in 2003 so that he would be charged with an offence and could challenge the constitutionality of the law in court.

However, the Ontario courts rejected his constitutional arguments, and the Supreme Court of Canada declined to hear his appeal.

As a result, Bruce was convicted of 25 paperwork crimes involving his firearms. He was sentenced to 18 months in jail plus probation, and is now permanently prohibited from possessing firearms. He therefore cannot resume his career as a gunsmith.

Donna Montague, Bruce's wife, likewise let her firearms licence expire and was convicted of a single offence.

The federal government has applied under the Criminal Code to force the Montagues to forfeit ownership of all the firearms they own, including Bruce's business inventory. The value of these assets exceeds \$100,000.

The Ontario government has also brought a civil action claiming forfeiture of the same firearms plus the Montagues' home, which contained Bruce's shop. Ontario alleges the properties are either "proceeds of unlawful activity" or "instruments of unlawful activity" as defined by the Civil Remedies Act of 2001.

The Montagues were previously represented by lawyer Doug Christie, who died in March, 2013 of cancer.

Karen Selick, litigation director for the CCF, said: "I have been concerned about the Civil Remedies Act here in Ontario ever since it was introduced as a bill in December, 2000. I welcome the opportunity to defend this couple against financial ruin by a rapacious, opportunistic government."

Karen wrote about the Montague case in the Calgary Herald last summer in her article: "[Just like Russia, Canada Persecutes Its Protesters.](#)"

Karen has also written several newspaper articles opposing the Civil Remedies Act, including these :

- ✓ "[Ontario Wants to Put a Grab on Property Rights](#)"
- ✓ "[Go Ahead—Make Our Day](#)"
- ✓ "[Civil Asset Forfeiture Laws Punish the Innocent and Corrupt the State](#)"

Karen also appeared as a witness before the Ontario legislature's Standing Committee on Justice and Social Policy in 2001, opposing the passage of the Civil Remedies Act. Here is a [transcript of her remarks](#).

In September, 2012, Karen spoke about civil asset forfeiture at an Ottawa conference on property rights. Her talk, "[Property Forfeiture - the Trojan Horse of Law Enforcement](#)" can be seen on YouTube.

If you would like to help Bruce and Donna, [please make a donation to the CCF today](#). The CCF is a registered charity in Canada and the United States and we issue tax receipts for donations of \$25 or more.

If you prefer to [donate by check](#), please [download the CCF Donation Form](#) and send it in with [your donation in support of the Bruce and Donna Montague civil asset forfeiture case](#).

[Donations to the Canadian Constitution Foundation](#) of \$25 or more are **fully tax deductible**, meaning **it will cost you a fraction of your actual donation** in support of this critical case, where our very Rights and Freedoms are under attack.

The Canadian Constitution Foundation is fighting for our Rights and Freedoms. Please donate generously!

Firearm Politics



What have the Conservatives done for us lately?

by Allan Rostic, President, [The Sporting Clubs of Niagara](#) - August Newsletter

Two short years. That's all the time they have left before the 2015 federal election. Can the now "*conservatives-in-name-only*" usher in enough legislation over the next two years to satisfy their base voters? I'm going to say no for one simple reason: Bill C19, the legislation that ended the long gun registry says it all.

The Sporting Clubs of Niagara is a grass roots pro-gun organization and along with hundreds of thousands of gun owners across Canada, wanted the Conservative Party of Canada [CPC] to end the Liberals gun control agenda, codified in Bill C-68. That's why we supported them. However, legislation to end or modify Bill C-68 has never come up in a Throne Speech. Instead, the CPC allowed two courageous MP's to bring forward private members bills, Bill C301 & Bill C391, to address some aspects of gun control legislation. Both private members bills went down to defeat.

Hamstrung in the past as a minority government, a newly elected majority CPC government [2011] could [should!] bring in new audacious legislation to address C-68 and then coast to an easy victory in 2015 with the support of gun owners. Or so we thought. Instead, the CPC hid behind the failed private members bills and reluctantly pushed through Bill C-19 that ended the high spending, completely ineffective long gun registry. It took them 18 months to accomplish that. Bill C-68 was ignored or sidelined.

Instead of a bold gusty conservative agenda, the now "*conservatives-in-name-only party*" have frittered away 3 years and become the party that they bitterly complained about in opposition in 2005. What happened to ending corporate welfare handouts and subsidies? What happened to ending "supply management" schemes? What happened to de-funding the CBC? What happened to privatizing the EDC, CDC, FCC, CMHC and a host of other government agencies that Canadian taxpayers shouldn't have to support? What happened to eliminating the federal deficit and tax reform to lower the taxes Canadians are forced to pay? What happened to reigning in the out-of-control RCMP? Reforming the Senate? WTF?

And what happened to the CPC promise to kill Bill C-68? Is it possible to end Bill C-68 and re-write something that will make gun owners happy in two years? Doubtful. 2015 will be a legislative washout due to electioneering, fundraising, and showcasing their record to voters. That leaves what's left of 2013 & 2014.

Sticking to their conservative roots and keeping their core voters happy, the CPC might have had an easy go of it in 2015. They had the time and the votes to do it. The now "*conservatives-in-name-only*" have alienated so many core conservatives that not only will these same CPC party supporters not give any money and campaign support for the 2015 election, these betrayed core conservatives could pull a Mitt Romney, and just not show up at all at the voting booth in 2015!

Can Harper and company afford to ignore gun owners? Do they have the guts to make it right?

So again, what have the Conservatives done for gun owners lately?

Constitutional Rights

Will Canadians be Forced to Provide DNA Sample Merely for Being Arrested?

For a “conservative” government Stephen Harper’s Conservatives continue to prove they don’t know the meaning of the word. Justice Minister Peter MacKay spoke publicly about the issue of [forcing people to provide DNA samples upon arrest](#) and he thinks it’s a *good* idea.

“I know there’s always privacy considerations in the backdrop to this and it has to be balanced in the bigger picture. But I think that, you know, the timing of the taking of DNA is something that could very well emerge in the future as another issue of importance.”

At present Canadian law ONLY provides for the collection of DNA samples from convicted criminals, and even then, only from those convicted of sex crimes or murder. In rare cases DNA samples were compelled from those convicted of drug trafficking offenses as well.

“Right now we’re limited to taking it on conviction. It could be expanded to take on arrest, like a fingerprint. I maintain that, you know, a genetic fingerprint is no different and could be used in my view as an investigative tool.”

This is what I like to call a “*trial balloon*” much like the one floated by former Public Safety Minister Vic Toews when he attempted to get Bill C-30, the bill that would force Internet Service Providers to hand over private information about their subscribers, passed.

The public outcry and pushback was immediate and intense, forcing Toews and the Conservative government to abandon the ill-conceived bill entirely.

It’s time for another public outcry; for another pushback against the machinations of the Orwellian impulses of a “*conservative-in-name-only*” government here in Canada.

Those who believe our Rights and Freedoms mean something tangible are obviously against such a move by our government. The justification that “*if you’ve done nothing wrong you’ve got nothing to hide*” is retarded, as innocent people should not be forced to hand over the most personal thing about them, their DNA, without a good and compelling reason. Simply being arrested is no such reason.

Were Canada to go down this road, can you imagine the nightmare (or Orwellian Dream) the mass arrests of protesters such as those at the Toronto G8 conference would be?

Once again the rationalization for this comes from south of the border, where the US Supreme Court has already upheld taking DNA samples from those arrested for “serious” crimes. The definition of *serious* is, naturally, up to whoever writes the laws or the legal decisions.

Here in Canada lawyer Solomon Friedman made it quite clear that this is not about identifying an individual, but about connecting individuals to past unsolved crimes.

“We take fingerprints from people when they’re arrested. This is just the same,” said Brian Lilley on his [Sun News Network show Byline](#).

Solomon Friedman replied,

*“I think that line of reasoning ends right there. You take fingerprints from people to identify them. Well, you already have their fingerprints. There’s no danger that someone else is going to claim they’re that person. That has nothing to do with the collection of DNA. The purpose of the collection of DNA is quite simple: it’s to try and solve old files. In other words, it’s to try and get evidence against that person who is in custody, not convicted, by use of their DNA records. **That’s problematic.**”*

That’s an understatement.

If you believe, as I do, that taking DNA samples from individuals who have yet to be convicted of any crime is offensive to our Charter Right to be Free from Unreasonable Search and Seizure, then I urge you to **write to Justice Minister Peter MacKay, Solicitor General Steven Blaney and Prime Minister Stephen Harper today.**

Justice

Two finally charged in relation to Rehtaeh Parsons's 2011 gang-rape

The fate of Rehtaeh Parsons spread like wildfire across the internet and social media earlier this year when the teenager committed suicide by hanging herself after being gang-raped in 2011 and then bullied online about her victimization.

While nobody has yet, to the best of my knowledge, been arrested or charged with the gang-rape, two 18-year-old men are facing child pornography charges for spreading a photo of Parsons' being raped online and through cell phones.

Both "men" faces a charge of distributing child pornography and one of them faces an additional charge of creating child pornography.

Both were released without bail after promising they would appear in court.

Earlier this year Leah Parsons, Rehtaeh's mother, took to social media in an effort to see justice done for her daughter. Online petitions drew massive media coverage and eventually forced the RCMP to re-open their investigation into the 2011 gang rape and subsequent social media bullying.

Needless to say Leah Parsons was relieved to hear someone would finally face charges of any kind relating to the death of her daughter.

Coincidentally, news of the arrests came one day after Nova Scotia passed the Cyber-Safety Act (https://www.gov.ns.ca/just/global_docs/CyberbullyingLegislation_EN.pdf).

This legislation allows victims of cyber-bullying to sue their victimizers and, if those individuals are minors, the parents can be held responsible for the actions of their children.

Nova Scotia Justice Minister Ross Landry said in a news release:

"Too many young people and their families are being hurt by cyberbullies. I committed to families that the province would work with them to better protect our children and young people. Court orders, and the ability to sue, are more tools that help put a stop to this destructive behaviour.

This sends a clear message, cyberbullying is a serious act with serious consequences. Think before you text."

Glen Canning, Rehtaeh's father, praised the legislation as [a step in the right direction](#), even though it came years too late to help his own daughter.

"I think it would have made a huge difference, I really do," said Canning. "I think for the first two weeks after the incident happened with my daughter, there was a photo being passed around her school to dozens of people. It's hard to believe that that could happen unopposed, it could happen without any consequences whatsoever and we really had no recourse at all.

"It was just constant and there was no consequences for anybody to something that just completely destroyed her, just completely destroyed her whole life. She never recovered from that. And the thing is, at the time, we did everything right. We went to the police, we went to the school, and it was just like everyone just shrugged it off."

He said he hopes these new rules will have teeth, "so that if a kid comes forward with some kind of cyber-bullying again in the future, that there's a little bit of enforcement behind it."



Action Alert

The Following Issue Requires Immediate Action

Wendy Cukier's Coalition for Gun Control is desperately attempting to salvage the Quebec long gun registry. She's asked the Supreme Court for permission to intervene on the Province of Quebec's behalf in support of keeping the grossly incorrect database, even though it is unknown if the Supreme Court will hear the case.

Horrified that her annual parade of the dead women from 1989's L'Ecole Polytechnique shooting rampage by [Gamil Gharbi](#) hasn't garnered her more support, she's also teamed up with a Quebec anti-gun group to pressure Steven Blaney, our new Minister of Public Safety.

Complaining that the loss of Quebec's gun registry will endanger the lives of Quebecers as it has already done in the rest of the country, she is demanding Minister Blaney support her request to transfer the database to Quebec to "*maintain security and safety of your fellow citizens.*" The lack of shooting deaths since the database was destroyed for the rest of Canada simply proves Cukier is a fraud with an agenda; that the truth doesn't matter.

Despite Windy Wendy's protestations otherwise, the rest of the nation has not suffered a spike in shooting deaths with the loss of Canada's useless long gun registry, and it is critical that Public Safety Minister Blaney hear something other than the bleating cries and pathetic mewling of Wendy and her ilk.

Please take a few minutes to write a letter to Minister Blaney supporting the Harper government's decision to scrap the long gun registry and to encourage him to continue fighting Quebec's quest to keep that database.

We've already won this legal battle at the Quebec Superior Court level. The Quebec Government now wants to bring the issue before the Supreme Court of Canada.

Minister Blaney needs to hear from Canadians who support the scrapping of this database, as it has absolutely nothing to do with protecting Canadians from so-called "*gun violence.*"

There is no such thing as "*gun violence.*" It's one in a long line of misnomers used by those who despise guns to tug on the heartstrings of those who haven't educated themselves on this issue.

Do we call it "*car violence*" when drunk drivers kill people? Or "*knife violence*" when someone stabs another human being? No, of course not.

We hold the individual accountable for their criminal actions, not the piece of private property they used to commit their crime. That's precisely as it should be.

Tracking law-abiding citizens does not promote safety or prevent murderers from committing crimes.

Canada's law-abiding firearm owners are NOT the problem. Canada's law-abiding firearm owners didn't kill anyone yesterday. Canada's law-abiding firearm owners didn't kill anyone today. Canada's law-abiding firearm owners are not going to kill anyone tomorrow either. Not even when the law no longer requires us to register our rifles and shotguns.

Contact to Minister Blaney using the following information:

The Honourable Steven Blaney
Minister of Public Safety
House of Commons
Ottawa, ON K1A 0A6

You can also contact him by phone or fax at:

Phone: (613) 992-7434

Fax: (613) 995-6856

His email addresses are:

blanes1b@parl.gc.ca, blanes@parl.gc.ca and ministerpublicsafety@ps-sp.gc.ca

Political Action

The Political Action Wizard Free Senate Edition - Download and Use it Today

On June 23, 2013 I announced that the [political action software program](#) I had created for contacting every Senator in Canada was ready for you to [download and use](#). While the reason I created the software is no longer relevant (The Senate repeal Section 13 of the Canadian Human Rights Act on June 28) the Canadian Senate still has a lot of power over the lives of ordinary Canadians.

While they did a great thing by finally passing Bill C-304 to repeal Section 13, the very same day they absolutely gutted Bill C-377, a bill that would have forced Canadian labour unions to become more transparent. They did this and got away with it because nobody was watching them and they knew it.

[The Political Action Wizard Free Senate Edition](#) is a tool for every Canadian to use to write to our Senators and express our views on the legislation before them. As their actions on Bill C-377 proved, we must let our Senators know we're watching otherwise all kinds of silliness takes place.

<http://download.politicalactionsoftware.org/senate-free-edition/>

