



# Canadian Charter of Rights and Freedoms Rights and Freedom

# Bulletin

*Freedom:  
It's not  
just a word...  
It's a way of life.*

<http://Bulletin.RightsAndFreedom.org>

## Today We Remember Their Sacrifice and honour them for the Freedoms they preserved for us.

[Text like this](#) is a link to online content. These links are provided to give you easy access to the original news story or other relevant information.

Give the nature of this publication and the litany of rights violations it records every week the sentiment expressed above might seem a bit... odd. It's not.

The only reason I am able to publish this Bulletin is because brave men and women placed themselves in harms way.

I have, like most of you, had the pleasure and the honour of knowing veterans of World War II and every conflict since then.

They are, without exception, men and women to emulate.

They understand clearly right from wrong.

They know political garbage when they hear it and tolerate it not.

They ensure their offspring know the value of their Rights and Freedoms, and what it takes to defend them.

They are admirable human beings.

One of the most moving experiences I ever had on Remembrance Day was as I travelled to Ontario for another meeting with Bruce Montague about his case.

As the hour neared 11am I found myself entering in Kindersley, Saskatchewan. I followed the clues to their Remembrance Day ceremony and went inside.

These are folks who know how to honour their veterans, both living and dead.

For the full story of that amazing town and the way they honour their veterans please visit this link:

<http://readthis.rightsandfreedom.org/kindersley-remembranceday>

Yours in Liberty,

*Christopher*

### In This Issue

Marc Lemire' Appeal of Internet Censorship to be heard on November 14, 2013 **2**

French Jeweller Stephan Turk charged with homicide after shooting escaping robber **3**

Judge Slams Bell Canada for Privacy Violation **4**

10 Steps You Can Take Against Internet Surveillance **5**

Canadian Constitution Foundation and Bruce and Donna Montague Need Your Help **7**

An Open Letter to Prime Minister Harper from Canadian Shooting Sports Association **8**

Ottawa Police Join Media to Promote "Pixels For Propaganda" Triumph **9**

The greatest keyboard shortcut ever! **10**

## Freedom of Speech

### Marc Lemire' Appeal of Internet Censorship to be heard on November 14, 2013

The Federal Court of Appeals has finally set a date to hear our Constitutional challenge to the infamous censorship provision Section 13 of the Canadian Human Rights Act. The hearing will be before a three judge panel which hears our arguments and those of the CHRC & 3 interveners (1 supporting censorship and 2 opposed)

In 2009, the Canadian Human Rights Tribunal found that Section 13 was a violation of freedom of speech and tossed out the entire case against Marc Lemire. The Canadian Human Rights Commission – with their unlimited tax-payer dollars – appealed. The Federal Court in 2012 found that Section 13 was constitutional, but that the penalty provisions (where victims could be slapped with heavy fines) was unconstitutional, and the court stuck down that provision. In October 2012, we appealed the questionable decision of Justice Mosley to the Federal Court of Appeals for a final determination on the Constitutionality of Sec13.

Thursday, November 14, 2013 at 9:30am

Federal Court of Appeals

180 Queen Street West, 7th Floor,

Toronto, Ontario

If you're in the Toronto area the hearing is open to the public. We need your support!

#### [APPEAL: The side supporting freedom outnumber the censors](#)

For the first time in the 10+ year history of the Lemire case, the side that is supporting freedom will actually outnumber the side that is seeking to uphold Canada's censorship laws. On one side are the usual tax-payer funded government sponsored special interest groups and on the other are organizations that support freedom.

The appeal is the usual 'David vs Goliath' battle that has become synonymous with free speech cases. On one side is the government along with tax-payer funded hangers on – who appear to have unlimited money available to them – and on the other side are small groups who don't receive a penny of government money and rely on donations.

The tax-payers are footing the bill for the fanatical Canadian Human Rights Commission who has retained the high priced law firm of Bordens LLP to represent them. The "African-Canadian" Legal Clinic (AFLC) another 100% tax-payer funded group has also intervened to support Section 13 but wants "substantive and procedural modifications." At the previous hearing the AFLC was represented by the great 'African-Canadian' Ed Morgan who is the former head of the Canadian Jewish Congress.

Over the course of the appeal, multiple organizations have applied for intervenor status to support freedom of speech; the Canadian Civil Liberties Association and the Canadian Association for Free Expression. Both the CCLA and CAFE have been granted status and will be appearing at the hearing. The Canadian Free Speech League also applied but had to withdraw when their general counsel Douglas Christie passed away. Many of the great arguments the CFSL were putting forward have been incorporated into CAFE's Factum.

The Memorandum of Fact and Law (written by brilliant freedom fighter Barbara Kulaszka) is a concise review of the ten years of persecution the Canadian "Human Rights" Commission has dragged Marc Lemire through for daring to post a single article on his website – which he did not even write. It is a shocking and clinical review of all that goes wrong, when an out of control government agency with a "Nazi fetish" is unleashed. From spying on Canadians with the possibility of entrapment, to threatening Internet Service Providers (ISP) to force deletion of content they don't like.

<http://www.stopsection13.com/support.html>

## Self Defense

### French Jeweller Stephan Turk charged with homicide after shooting escaping robber

Outrage is growing in France over [the decision to bring voluntary homicide charges against a jeweller](#) who shot and killed an escaping robber, but the country's top security official on Tuesday urged fearful storekeepers to let justice take its course.

The 67-year-old jeweller, Stephan Turk, was confined at home with an electronic bracelet after the shooting last week that left a teenage robber dead in the street outside Turk's jewellery store in the French Riviera city of Nice. An accomplice escaped on a motorbike as the body lay in the street.

In a country where gun violence is rare but armed robbery is increasingly common, the shooting — and the formal charges of voluntary homicide — have placed the government in a difficult position.

*"Even when faced with the unbearable, we have to let justice prevail,"* Interior Minister Manuel Valls said Tuesday in Nice, where he was sent by the president a day after a protest by hundreds of Turk's supporters.

Jewellers in southern France say they're being targeted as never before and lack the resources to protect themselves.

*"It was a difficult situation. I don't know how I would have reacted myself. I don't endorse what he did, but he had been beaten and threatened with death,"* Yan Turk, the son of the jeweller, told the Nice Matin paper.

*"We've had it with being targeted by robbers."*

The young man killed, 19-year-old Anthony Asli, had been in trouble as a juvenile and was freed about a month ago from his most recent stint in detention, shedding his own electronic bracelet and moving in with a longtime girlfriend who is pregnant with their child. Asli's family described him as impressionable and immature.

*"The family's not condoning the robbery. They're not condoning it and they're not excusing it. It was Anthony's fault. But did he deserve to die in these conditions?"* their lawyer, Olivier Castellacci, said Tuesday. *"We don't have, in France, the notion of taking justice into your own hands. The family is revolted by that."*

#### We Reap What We Sow...

Anthony Asli made a choice. He chose to walk into a jewellery store and rob it. The consequence of his decision is he lost his life.

The only person who is ultimately responsible for Anthony's death is Anthony himself. Had he not chosen to steal from Stephan Turk's jewellery store he would still be alive today.

Is it sad that Anthony Asli's child will grow up without a father? Absolutely. That sadness doesn't change the fact that it is Anthony's decision alone that brought that circumstance about.

Nobody forced him to rob Stephan Turk and Anthony's buddy, the getaway driver, didn't hesitate for a second to help his wounded accomplice, did he?

Nope, not for a second, but I bet *that* would-be thief will think twice about trying to rob someone again.

Let's not forget... Anthony Asli was no saint. He'd been convicted of criminal offenses 14 times already according to Eric Bedos, the prosecutor in Nice, France.

Why does it take some stupid kid's death to put some fear and common sense into would-be criminals?

**What You Must Know  
Before You Carry...**

Get your **Free Copy** of the Concealed Carry Report and protect your home and family before it's too late...



**CONCEALED CARRY  
REPORT**

Instant Access

## Privacy Rights

### Judge Slams Bell Canada for Privacy Violation of Nova Scotia Man

The Federal Court of Canada has awarded a Nova Scotia man [\\$21,000 in damages](#) after a judge ruled cable giant Bell TV acted in a “reprehensible” fashion when it checked the man’s credit history without permission, violating his privacy rights.

Judge Michael Phelan said not only did Bell violate Rabi Chitrakar’s rights, the company also demonstrated no interest in offering compensation and later failed to take the court proceedings seriously.

*“Bell is a large company for whom a small damages award would have little material impact,”* says the judgment released this week. *“Chitrakar spent a considerable period dealing with the Bell bureaucracy and in pursuing his claim. These factors suggest that a damages award should not be minimalistic.”*

Bell spokesman Albert Lee issued a statement suggesting Bell’s policy “was unclear to the customer,” but Lee’s email didn’t say what policy he was referring to. Lee also said the company apologized and waived all fees when it learned about what happened.

Lee declined a request for an interview, saying he was not the “subject matter expert.”

*“There was absolutely no confusion at my part.”* Chitrakar said in an email. *“I’ve worked in banking sector for almost a decade and I know when we can order credit checks on customer. Bell in no way .... sought my permission for credit check nor advised me that I could have opted out from credit check by making a \$200 deposit.”*

Chitrakar said he was satisfied with judgment, *“but I had hoped that it could go little further so companies like Bell take privacy intrusion of people little more seriously.”*

The court decision says that when Chitrakar ordered satellite TV service on Dec. 1, 2010, Bell immediately conducted an unauthorized credit check on the first-time customer.

When the TV service was installed at his home in Beechville, N.S., almost a month later, Bell obtained an electronic copy of his signature and transferred it to a rental agreement that authorized the credit check after the fact, the judgment says.

At the time, Chitrakar thought he was simply confirming delivery of the satellite system. He was never given a copy of the agreement, the ruling says.

*“Leaving aside concerns with the validity of Bell transferring Chitrakar’s signature ... to the rental agreement, the credit check was conducted one month before Mr. Chitrakar signed anything,”* Phelan wrote.

Chitrakar called Bell to complain about what happened after he spotted the credit check, a so-called “hard-pull” inquiry that hurt his credit score, the court said.

Bell responded by giving Chitrakar a “royal runaround,” the judgment says.

Chitrakar later filed a complaint with the federal privacy commissioner, who found that Bell had violated its own policies.

As well, the commissioner was told Bell couldn’t find any records relevant to Chitrakar’s case and it couldn’t confirm the identity of the clerk who handled the file.

*“Bell’s conduct in this matter is reprehensible in respect to Chitrakar’s privacy rights,”* Phelan wrote. *“Not only did Bell violate those rights, it has shown no interest in compensation or apparently any interest in addressing the (clerk’s) actions nor in following the privacy commissioner’s remedial recommendations.”*

Chitrakar told the court he was subsequently denied a student loan, though there was no direct evidence to show Bell’s actions played a role.

*“Privacy rights are being more broadly recognized as important rights in an era where information on an individual is so readily available even without consent,”* the judgment says. *“It is important that violations of those rights be recognized as properly compensable.”*

## Privacy Protection

### Ten Steps You Can Take Right Now Against Internet Surveillance

by [Danny O'Brien, Electronic Frontier Foundation](#)

One of the trends we've seen is how, as the word of the NSA's spying has spread, more and more ordinary people want to know how (or if) they can defend themselves from surveillance online. But where to start?

The bad news is: if you're being personally targeted by a powerful intelligence agency like the NSA, it's very, very difficult to defend yourself. The good news, if you can call it that, is that much of what the NSA is doing is mass surveillance on everybody. With a few small steps, you can make that kind of surveillance a lot more difficult and expensive, both against you individually, and more generally against everyone.

Here are ten steps you can take to make your own devices secure. This isn't a complete list, and it won't make you completely safe from spying. But every step you take will make you a little bit safer than average. And it will make your attackers, whether they're the NSA or a local criminal, have to work that much harder.

#### 1. Use end-to-end encryption.

We know the NSA has been [working to undermine encryption](#), but experts like Bruce Schneier who have seen the NSA documents feel that [encryption is still "your friend"](#). And your best friends remain open source systems that don't share your secret key with others, are open to examination by security experts, and encrypt data all the way from one end of a conversation to the other: from your device to the person you're chatting with. The easiest tool that achieves this end-to-end encryption is [off-the-record \(OTR\) messaging](#), which gives instant messaging clients end-to-end encryption capabilities (and you can use it over existing services, such as Google Hangout and Facebook chat). Install it on your own computers, and get your friends to install it too. When you've done that, look into PGP—it's tricky to use, but used well it'll stop your email from being an open book to snoopers. (OTR isn't the same as Google Chat's option to "Go off the record"; you'll need extra software to get end-to-end encryption.)

#### 2. Encrypt as much communications as you can.

Even if you can't do end-to-end, you can still encrypt a lot of your Internet traffic. If you [use EFF's HTTPS Everywhere browser addon](#) for Chrome or Firefox, you can maximise the amount of web data you protect by forcing websites to encrypt webpages whenever possible. Use a [virtual private network \(VPN\)](#) when you're on a network you don't trust, like a cybercafe.

#### 3. Encrypt your hard drive.

The latest version of Windows, Macs, iOS and Android all have ways to encrypt your local storage. Turn it on. Without it, anyone with a few minutes physical access to your computer, tablet or smartphone can copy its contents, even if they don't have your password.

Strong passwords, kept safe. Passwords these days have to be ridiculously long to be safe against crackers. That includes the password to email accounts, and passwords to unlock devices, and passwords to web services. If it's bad to re-use passwords, and bad to use short passwords, how can you remember them all? Use a password manager. Even write down your passwords and keeping them in your wallet is safer than re-using the same short memorable password -- at least you'll know when your wallet is stolen.

You can create a memorable strong master password using a random word system like that described at [diceware.com](#).



#### 4. Use Tor.

“[Tor Stinks](#)”, this slide leaked from GCHQ says. That shows much the intelligence services are worried about it. Tor is an the open source program that protects your anonymity online by shuffling your data through a global network of volunteer servers. If you [install and use Tor](#), you can hide your origins from corporate and mass surveillance. You'll also be showing that Tor is used by everyone, not just the “terrorists” that GCHQ claims.

#### 5. Turn on two-factor (or two-step) authentication.

Google and Gmail has it; Twitter has it; Dropbox has it. Two factor authentication, where you type a password and a regularly changed confirmation number, helps protect you from attacks on web and cloud services. When available, turn it on for the services you use. If it's not available, tell the company you want it.

#### 6. Don't click on attachments.

The easiest ways to get intrusive malware onto your computer is through your email, or through compromised websites. Browsers are getting better at protecting you from the worst of the web, but files sent by email or downloaded from the Net can still take complete control of your computer. Get your friends to send you information in text; when they send you a file, double-check it's really from them.

#### 7. Keep software updated, and use anti-virus software.

The NSA may be attempting to compromise Internet companies (and we're still waiting to see whether anti-virus companies deliberately ignore government malware), but on the balance, it's still better to have the companies trying to fix your software than have attackers be able to exploit old bugs.

#### 8. Keep extra secret information extra secure.

Think about the data you have, and take extra steps to encrypt and conceal your most private data. You can use TrueCrypt to separately encrypt a USB flash drive. You might even want to keep your most private data on a cheap netbook, kept offline and only used for the purposes of reading or editing documents.

#### 9. Be an ally.

If you understand and care enough to have read this far, we need your help. To really challenge the surveillance state, you need to teach others what you've learned, and explain to them why it's important.

Install OTR, Tor and other software for worried colleagues, and teach your friends how to use them. Explain to them the impact of the NSA revelations.

Ask them to sign up to [Stop Watching Us](#) and other campaigns against bulk spying.

[Run a Tor node](#), or hold a [cryptoparty](#).

They need to stop watching us; and we need to start making it much harder for them to get away with it.



## Civil Asset Forfeiture

### Canadian Constitution Foundation and Bruce and Donna Montague Need Your Help

The Canadian Constitution Foundation announced on April 17, 2013 that it would provide legal counsel to Bruce and Donna Montague of Dryden, Ontario in their ongoing legal battle against government efforts to seize virtually all of their assets. Their court hearing is now scheduled for November 15, 2013, at Queen's Park in Toronto.

Bruce Montague was a licensed gunsmith and firearms dealer who believed that Canada's gun licensure laws were unconstitutional. He deliberately allowed his firearms licences to expire in 2003 so that he would be charged with an offence and could challenge the constitutionality of the law in court.

However, the Ontario courts rejected his constitutional arguments, and the Supreme Court of Canada declined to hear his appeal.

As a result, Bruce was convicted of 25 paperwork crimes involving his firearms. He was sentenced to 18 months in jail plus probation, and is now permanently prohibited from possessing firearms. He therefore cannot resume his career as a gunsmith.

Donna Montague, Bruce's wife, likewise let her firearms licence expire and was convicted of a single offence.

The federal government has applied under the Criminal Code to force the Montagues to forfeit ownership of all the firearms they own, including Bruce's business inventory. The value of these assets exceeds \$100,000.

The Ontario government has also brought a civil action claiming forfeiture of the same firearms plus the Montagues' home, which contained Bruce's shop. Ontario alleges the properties are either "proceeds of unlawful activity" or "instruments of unlawful activity" as defined by the Civil Remedies Act of 2001.

The Montagues were previously represented by lawyer Doug Christie, who died in March, 2013 of cancer.

Karen Selick, litigation director for the CCF, said: "I have been concerned about the Civil Remedies Act here in Ontario ever since it was introduced as a bill in December, 2000. I welcome the opportunity to defend this couple against financial ruin by a rapacious, opportunistic government."

Karen wrote about the Montague case in the Calgary Herald last summer in her article: "[Just like Russia, Canada Persecutes Its Protesters.](#)"

Karen has also written several newspaper articles opposing the Civil Remedies Act, including these :

- ✓ "[Ontario Wants to Put a Grab on Property Rights](#)"
- ✓ "[Go Ahead—Make Our Day](#)"
- ✓ "[Civil Asset Forfeiture Laws Punish the Innocent and Corrupt the State](#)"

Karen also appeared as a witness before the Ontario legislature's Standing Committee on Justice and Social Policy in 2001, opposing the passage of the Civil Remedies Act. Here is a [transcript of her remarks](#).

In September, 2012, Karen spoke about civil asset forfeiture at an Ottawa conference on property rights. Her talk, "[Property Forfeiture - the Trojan Horse of Law Enforcement](#)" can be seen on YouTube.

If you would like to help Bruce and Donna, [please make a donation to the CCF today](#). The CCF is a registered charity in Canada and the United States and we issue tax receipts for donations of \$25 or more.

If you prefer to [donate by check](#), please [download the CCF Donation Form](#) and send it in with [your donation in support of the Bruce and Donna Montague civil asset forfeiture case](#).

[Donations to the Canadian Constitution Foundation](#) of \$25 or more are **fully tax deductible**, meaning **it will cost you a fraction of your actual donation** in support of this critical case, where our very Rights and Freedoms are under attack.

The Canadian Constitution Foundation is fighting for our Rights and Freedoms. Please donate generously!

## Firearm Politics

### An Open Letter to Prime Minister Harper from Canadian Shooting Sports Association

Dear Prime Minister Harper:

We hope you enjoyed the Conservative Party of Canada convention in Calgary, despite the many contentious issues that have surfaced in recent weeks.

Any time a government's integrity is called into question by the opposition parties, the media tend to exploit it and focus on the negative. We trust you can weather the storm and re-focus Canadians on what is important to our collective future.

Given the constant barrage of negative media lately, the Canadian Shooting Sports Association (CSSA) has a few suggestions that could help the Conservatives succeed in the looming October 2015 election. While we appreciate there are many spinning plates that the party must carefully monitor, we see an important opportunity here to increase the party's national popularity.

As you know, millions of gun owners in Canada continue to live in the shadow of unfair firearms laws written by the former Liberal government. Sport shooters are frequently subjected to criminal charges under the Firearms Act for non-threatening "paper crimes." A shrewd Liberal administration created Chief Firearms Offices (CFOs) that are neither political fish nor foul, since they don't appear answerable to any level of government. The CFOs make up half-baked rules designed to intimidate firearms owners with the hope they will abandon their heritage sports out of sheer frustration. Tabling and passing legislation that sends the CFOs out to pasture is sure to garner the respect and support of law-abiding firearms owners from coast to coast.

Eliminating the UN Gun Marking scheme would also go a long way to capturing the firearms vote. The outrageous regulation was proposed to force all foreign firearms manufacturers to inscribe the year and country of destination on every gun coming into Canada. International manufacturers have noted they would simply remove Canada as a customer destination rather than comply. It would kill the multi-billion dollar annual sport shooting industry in Canada without preventing a single firearms crime. The entire exercise is moot, of course, since every firearm imported into Canada or manufactured here is already clearly etched with a unique serial number that identifies the firearm's source and destination history. The elimination of the UN Gun Marking threat would restore a great deal of support within the Conservative family.

Prime Minister, perhaps you can detect that the "frustration campaign" against gun owners is taking its toll. A Postmedia story on November 1 noted that more Canadians donated money to the Liberals than Conservatives during the last six months. While the CPC raised more money due to larger individual donations, the Liberals received 38,000 donations compared with 30,000 for the Conservatives. The news story even suggests this could reveal a "possible change in the political landscape." The CSSA has heard from thousands of firearms owners who have refused to donate to the CPC solely due to inaction on the gun file. The financial floodgates would soon open again if the CPC tables legislation that favours responsible gun ownership.

Even though the firearms community is very grateful for the elimination of the long-gun registry, the disappointment since that time is palpable. The corrective measures that the Firearms Act so badly requires are poised to restore the faith of millions of gun owners, most of whom are politically motivated voters. There is no need to fear a backlash from the anti-firearms groups, as there is copious supporting evidence available to prove the laws we want scrapped have absolutely no public safety benefits. If the anti-gun groups object, the CPC can prove them wrong by citing solid, statistical-based facts.

Firearms owners comprise a community of dedicated, safety-conscious Canadians who are committed to the heritage sports. If you show support for them, the CSSA predicts you and your government will reap the rewards in October 2015.

As you know, the CSSA is in constant contact with the capable policy staff in PMO, Public Safety, and the House of Commons. We are always willing to discuss how securing the future of firearms owners will secure the CPC's future at the same time.

Sincerely,

The Canadian Shooting Sports Association



## The Firearms Act

### Ottawa Police Join Media to Promote “Pixels For Propaganda” Triumph

The Ottawa police chief is fairly giddy with the success of the recent Pixels for Pistols campaign that collected over 1,000 guns. Sleep well, Ottawa – now you are safe. But from what and from whom?

By their own admission, the police are championing a program specifically designed to avoid collecting guns used in crimes. The mandate of a police service is to fight crime, yet this program brazenly warns criminals that all gun serial numbers will be checked against crime gun records. In lieu of catching bad guys, the police much prefer a tryst with local media to tout yet another Pixels for Propaganda program.

It is to shudder when one reads an Ottawa Citizen quote on the gun haul and attributed to Ottawa’s top cop:

*“Some of them are quite old, some of them are quite new, but as you can tell they’re all very intimidating,”* Chief Charles Bordeleau said before an arsenal (sic) of weapons at the Ottawa police’s evidence control room on Swansea Crescent. *“We can safely say that these guns won’t be used in a crime, they won’t be used to help a person commit suicide, and will be destroyed safely.”*

This manufactured hysteria plays perfectly to the media lapdogs who comprise the other half of the propaganda partnership. Thankfully, there are a few reporters who refuse to compromise investigative journalism with anti-gun public relations. They need to ask Canada’s police chiefs how stealing guns from private citizens enhances safety. It is theft and probably fraud when the public is seduced into swapping a \$175 camera voucher for firearms that may be worth thousands of dollars to a sport shooter or collector.

If there’s any doubt that the fix is in for responsible gun owners, consider the tender tale cited by a police-groupie Ottawa Citizen reporter. Some guy was so broke and fearful for his children’s safety that he traded his gun for a camera that he could give his wife for her birthday. As the tears flow, we have more hard-hitting “evidence” that destroying guns is the fast track to families feeling warm and fuzzy.

No news reports we saw dared mention that endless photo-ops of gun-laden tables serves only to stoke public fear. But, to what end? Could it be that desperate police chiefs would fear-monger to convince municipal councils to pass their burgeoning police budgets in an era when crime is going down? If it occurs to firearms owners to ask that question, why does it escape the reporters and editors who cover the cop beat? It’s too simple – fear and unrest are selling points for both police budgets and newspapers. While the Ottawa police engaged in chest-beating and self-satisfied photo-ops with all those nasty guns, the Ottawa Citizen ran this sidebar under the sub-head, “By the numbers”:

- ⇨ \$159,775: total value of all vouchers for cameras issued
- ⇨ 2,500 F°: temperature at which guns will be destroyed
- ⇨ 1,035: total guns collected
- ⇨ 735: total long guns, or shotguns and rifles
- ⇨ 490: number of guns turned during last Ottawa police gun amnesty run in 2008
- ⇨ 178: total handguns
- ⇨ 104: total air guns
- ⇨ 90: days the guns will be held before being destroyed
- ⇨ 21: most guns turned in by one person
- ⇨ 18: replicas, starter pistols, other types of non-firearms turned in
- ⇨ 1: gun exchanged for wife’s birthday present
- ⇨ 0: guns believed to have been used in the commission of a crime

Note the spin – the “gun” haul total only reached the psychologically-impressive four figures (1,035) by including 104 air guns and 18 non-guns. But most telling of all, the last statistic is surely a confession that the entire exercise was a waste of time as a public safety measure. If Chief Bordeleau was the lucky recipient of one of those cheap cameras, he should take a photo “selfie” over the cutline, *“I am an anti-gun shill who pretends to keep his city safe by stealing potentially valuable firearms from people who don’t know any better.”*

## Technology

### The greatest keyboard shortcut ever!

Macs:

Command [plus] shift [plus] t reopens the last tab.

PCs:

Ctrl [plus] Shift [plus] T.

This works in all web browsers I've tested (*Firefox, Internet Explorer, Opera, Google Chrome, Epic Privacy Browser*).

Try it. Open your favourite web browser, open a new web page, then close the tab. Hit the shortcut and watch it magically re-appear. It's awesome!



## Gun Tech

### American Company Creates 3D-Printed Metal Gun, Fire 50 Rounds Through It

They said it couldn't be done at all, yet just months after the first plastic gun was printed some smart folks have printed a gun made completely of metal parts. While this type of printer is well outside the price range of the average user, the Solid Concepts 1911 chambered in .45 ACP shows how far 3D printing technology has come in just a few short months.

[Built by Solid Concepts](#), the pistol is a replica of the storied .45-caliber, M1911 semi-automatic that served as the U.S. military's standard-issue sidearm for more than 70 years. Solid Concept demonstrated the gun by firing 50 rounds with it.

The accuracy? At more than 30 yards, the gun was able to strike a target bull's-eye several times, Solid Concepts said.

Previously, the only 3D printed gun was The Liberator, a single-shot plastic weapon made by Defense Distributed. That weapon didn't prove to be reliable after multiple rounds were fired through it.

Solid Concept's pistol was made with industrial-grade 3D printers using the Selective Laser Sintering (SLS) and Direct Metal Laser Sintering techniques (DMLS). Both DMLS and SLS use lasers to melt metals, even titanium, at temperatures exceeding 3,000 degrees Fahrenheit. The 3D printers work by laying down a fine layer of powder and then using a laser to fuse granules together, building an object layer by layer from the ground up.

The difference between the two techniques is that DMLS tends to be used with alloys.

*"The industrial printer we used costs more than my college tuition (and I went to a private university),"* Kent Firestone, Solid Concepts' Vice President of additive manufacturing, said in a statement. *"The engineers who run our machines are top of the line; they are experts who know what they're doing and understand 3D Printing better than anyone in this business. Thanks to them, Solid Concepts is debunking the idea that 3D Printing isn't a viable solution or isn't ready for mainstream manufacturing."*

3D printers that use metal sintering techniques function differently from desktop 3D printers that use stereolithography, which melts plastic filaments and pushes them through a small extruder to build objects layer by layer.

Solid Concept's gun is composed of more than 30 3D-printed components. The slide, frame and many of the internal components are made of stainless Steel. The main spring, the hammer and part of the upper grip's handle was made with nickel-chromium-based alloy called Inconel 625.

*"Laser sintering is one of the most accurate manufacturing processes available, and more than accurate enough to build the 3D Metal Printed interchangeable and interfacing parts within our 1911 series gun,"* Solid Concepts said.

*"The gun proves laser sintering can meet tight tolerances."*

According to the company, 3D metal printing means fewer porosity issues than are seen with the traditional method of casting metal parts.

Solid Concept said its gun's barrel experiences chamber pressure above 20,000 psi every time the gun is fired. By comparison, a factory-made M1911 pistol is rated for 17,000 psi chamber pressure.

*"We're proving this is possible, the technology is at a place now where we can manufacture a gun with 3D Printing,"* Firestone said. *"As far as we know, we're the only 3D printing service provider with a Federal Firearms License (FFL). Now, if a qualifying customer needs a unique gun part in five days, we can deliver."*

As its name suggests, the Colt M1911 pistol became the military's sidearm in 1911, after legendary gun designer John Browning developed it more than a decade earlier. Since that time, many manufacturers have copied the design.



## Big Brother

### Bureaucrats Force Ont. Barbers to Learn Perm, Highlight Techniques or Face Shutdown

Imagine you've been cutting mens' hair for decades. You don't have a license to do so, but the fact your successful business is decades old, clearly you have what it takes to get the job done.

Or do you?

That's the issue facing Ontario barbers in the wake of an [idiotic bureaucratic decision](#) to class barbers as "*hair stylists*" in their new licensing scheme. It would seem these bureaucrats didn't think this all the way through (shocking, I know!) and now have a mess to clean up.

The real question, though, is this:

Will they clean it up or simply force barbers to learn techniques they will never use?

My bet is they will force their asinine decision through and make barbers spend thousands of dollars and hundreds of hours learning skills they don't want, will never use and shouldn't be forced to learn.

Thank God for government. What would we ever do without them?

#### [Ontario barbers forced to learn women's styling techniques](#)

Barbers in Ontario are facing the prospect of being forced back to school to learn how to do things like highlights and perms, some of them after decades of experience cutting men's hair.

New provincial legislation forces about 300,000 tradespeople to be certified in their trade, from electricians to home contractors.

In 1998, the Ministry of Training, Colleges and Universities grouped all trades related to hairstyling — including barbers — under the trade name of hairstylist.

But the change to ministry documents and certificates of qualification didn't happen until earlier this year, and many barbers have operated without licences because they were rarely if ever enforced.

Now, operating without a licence costs employees a \$195 fine and employers a \$295 fine for first offences.

To get a license, barbers have to learn the new combined curriculum for hairstylists, including perms, colouring and more.

'Why do we have to go through this?'

Frank Olszynko, who has been cutting hair since the 1960s and has a licence from Quebec, thinks there should be a grandfather clause.

"We're barbers, we're not neurosurgeons," he said. "Why do we have to go through this?"

"Now you have to go to school, you have to put in 2,000 hours, you have to pay \$5,000, you have to learn how to perm and colour and bleach and wave and God knows what. I don't even know any of that stuff, and I don't want to know."

Sam Lou said he's been cutting men's hair for 15 years and opened his own shop last month.

The Ontario College of Trades enforcement officers found him without a licence and asked him to shut down.



## Police Misconduct

### Police Taser and Arrest a Man for Attempting to Rescue his Son from House Fire

Is there anything worse than watching your son die in a fire?

Yes. Being tasered and arrested for daring to try and save your son's life, THEN watching him die in a fire.

The job of police is to help people, not terrorize them and attack them, yet that is precisely what police did this past week in the town of Louisiana, Missouri.

When police arrived at the scene they decided there was no chance to save Riley Jeffrey Miller, the 3-year-old still trapped inside the burning home. When the distraught parents tried entering the burning structure the police stopped them as only the police can do best: with tasers and the power of arrest.

Were they correct in their presumption that the boy was as good as dead already, and therefore saved the life of the distraught father?

Quite possibly.

Does that matter?

Not one bit.

Parents will go to extreme lengths to protect their children. That's their job. Even if it looks like suicide to a bystander wearing a badge and gun.

To quote one article on this story:

**Think about this for a minute: Mr. Miller was so determined to rescue his son that he was tasered three times before police could control him. Apparently the police's entire efforts were bent towards controlling a distraught father, rather than rescuing a trapped child. No wonder the child's aunt, [in the video above](#), describes them as "heartless."**

Individuals commenting on this story have very few polite things to say about the police and their handling of this tragedy.

*Those cops should have a murder charge slapped on them and be banned from ever working for the police ever again.*

*This makes me sick, as a father, I would rather die trying to save my daughter, than just watch her burn in a fire. These cops should be fired and charged with some sort of crime. Tazing someone who has not broken the law, and who is trying to save a life is completely unacceptable. Cowards....*

*I no longer support the police. They have become nothing but gutless cowards, trained to subjugate the American (and Canadian) people.*

*Police have no requirement to protect you or their family. The supreme court (both in America and Canada) says so. Disgusting situation, and the cops should be beaten to a bloody pulp.*

*To not be given the chance to save his child is worse than failing. This man will forever be anti-police and for just reasons. Even the police in some areas of central Texas do not even know their own laws. My heart goes out to the family of the Little One. Regardless of when the boy died, there will never be an answer to if he could have been saved. They are policemen, not Fire Rescue, what gave them the right to deprive a father from attempting to save his son?*

## Action Alert

### The Following Issue Requires Immediate Action

Wendy Cukier's Coalition for Gun Control is desperately attempting to salvage the Quebec long gun registry. She's asked the Supreme Court for permission to intervene on the Province of Quebec's behalf in support of keeping the grossly incorrect database, even though it is unknown if the Supreme Court will hear the case.

Horrified that her annual parade of the dead women from 1989's L'Ecole Polytechnique shooting rampage by [Gamil Gharbi](#) hasn't garnered her more support, she's also teamed up with a Quebec anti-gun group to pressure Steven Blaney, our new Minister of Public Safety.

Complaining that the loss of Quebec's gun registry will endanger the lives of Quebecers as it has already done in the rest of the country, she is demanding Minister Blaney support her request to transfer the database to Quebec to "*maintain security and safety of your fellow citizens.*" The lack of shooting deaths since the database was destroyed for the rest of Canada simply proves Cukier is a fraud with an agenda; that the truth doesn't matter.

Despite Windy Wendy's protestations otherwise, the rest of the nation has not suffered a spike in shooting deaths with the loss of Canada's useless long gun registry, and it is critical that Public Safety Minister Blaney hear something other than the bleating cries and pathetic mewling of Wendy and her ilk.

Please take a few minutes to write a letter to Minister Blaney supporting the Harper government's decision to scrap the long gun registry and to encourage him to continue fighting Quebec's quest to keep that database.

We've already won this legal battle at the Quebec Superior Court level. The Quebec Government now wants to bring the issue before the Supreme Court of Canada.

Minister Blaney needs to hear from Canadians who support the scrapping of this database, as it has absolutely nothing to do with protecting Canadians from so-called "*gun violence.*"

There is no such thing as "*gun violence.*" It's one in a long line of misnomers used by those who despise guns to tug on the heartstrings of those who haven't educated themselves on this issue.

Do we call it "*car violence*" when drunk drivers kill people? Or "*knife violence*" when someone stabs another human being? No, of course not.

**We hold the individual accountable for their criminal actions**, not the piece of private property they used to commit their crime. That's precisely as it should be.

Tracking law-abiding citizens does not promote safety or prevent murderers from committing crimes.

Canada's law-abiding firearm owners are NOT the problem. Canada's law-abiding firearm owners didn't kill anyone yesterday. Canada's law-abiding firearm owners didn't kill anyone today. Canada's law-abiding firearm owners are not going to kill anyone tomorrow either. Not even when the law no longer requires us to register our rifles and shotguns.

Contact to Minister Blaney using the following information:

**The Honourable Steven Blaney**  
**Minister of Public Safety**  
**House of Commons**  
**Ottawa, ON K1A 0A6**

You can also contact him by phone or fax at:

Phone: (613) 992-7434

Fax: (613) 995-6856

His email addresses are:

[blanes1b@parl.gc.ca](mailto:blanes1b@parl.gc.ca), [blanes@parl.gc.ca](mailto:blanes@parl.gc.ca) and [ministerpublicsafety@ps-sp.gc.ca](mailto:ministerpublicsafety@ps-sp.gc.ca)

## Political Action

### The Political Action Wizard Free Senate Edition - Download and Use it Today

On June 23, 2013 I announced that the [political action software program](#) I had created for contacting every Senator in Canada was ready for you to [download and use](#). While the reason I created the software is no longer relevant (The Senate repeal Section 13 of the Canadian Human Rights Act on June 28) the Canadian Senate still has a lot of power over the lives of ordinary Canadians.

While they did a great thing by finally passing Bill C-304 to repeal Section 13, the very same day they absolutely gutted Bill C-377, a bill that would have forced Canadian labour unions to become more transparent. They did this and got away with it because nobody was watching them and they knew it.

[The Political Action Wizard Free Senate Edition](#) is a tool for every Canadian to use to write to our Senators and express our views on the legislation before them. As their actions on Bill C-377 proved, we must let our Senators know we're watching otherwise all kinds of silliness takes place.

<http://download.politicalactionsoftware.org/senate-free-edition/>

