



RIGHTS AND FREEDOMS Bulletin

<http://Bulletin.RightsAndFreedom.org>

Freedom:
It's not
just a word...
It's a way of life.

RCMP Sex Offender Hypocrisy

Where their sex offenders cannot be named but civilians can

[Text like this](#) is a link to online content. These links are provided to give you easy access to the original news story or other relevant information.

The miniscule headline buried on page A8 of the Province Newspaper read simply "Mountie suspended." By that headline you can be forgiven for not bothering to read the single column inch of text below, but that text reveals two atrocious facts.

First, that the media and the RCMP are complicit in hiding the name and photograph of sex offenders if they are RCMP members. Second, that the RCMP itself is in dire need of a total overhaul of its recruitment methodology.

The three-sentence article reads:

"A Sidney/North Saanich RCMP officer is facing child pornography charges in relation to alleged offenses in Colwood. The officer, who was not named, has been suspended. Investigators are recommending one charge for possession of child pornography and one charge for production of child pornography. He will appear in Western Communities court on Feb. 20."

That's it. Now contrast that with "civilian" sex offenders. A quick web search using the term "BC sex offender" reveals 4 current stories where police and press eagerly publish both the names and photographs of the alleged sex offenders.

Don't misunderstand...

I'm not saying that's wrong, I'm saying there is a double-standard when it comes to reporting on crimes committed by sex offenders simply because one wears a yellow stripe down his leg and one does not.

They should not get a free pass just because they are cops.

If we're going to identify sex offenders and publish their photos then we must be consistent. Identify ALL sex offenders or identify none of them.

Yours in Liberty,

Christopher

In This Issue

- Arthur Topham's Ongoing Battle for Freedom of Speech **2**
- If a Flight Attendant can Take Down an Armed Criminal Why Can't We? **5**
- If you're going to invade someone's home, you'd best know who you're victimizing **6**
- Ford Executive Makes Massive Faux Pas on Privacy Issue **7**
- Can a High-Tech Bullet Design Really Improve Accuracy? **8**
- The Following Issue Requires Immediate Action **9**
- Police Can and Will Charge You Even When You Haven't Broken Any Firearm Law **10**
- The Political Action Wizard Free Senate Edition **11**
- re: Two Cases of Self-Defense End with Prison Term for homeowner, RFB #160 **12**

Freedom of Speech

Arthur Topham's Ongoing Legal Battle for Freedom of Speech

As I've written about many times before, BC resident Arthur Topham is embroiled in a battle for his Right to Freedom of Speech. Those who dislike what Arthur has to say used both Section 13 of the Canadian Human Rights Act (now repealed) and the Criminal Code section against "hate crimes" to attack him and strip him of that precious right.

While Section 13 of the Canadian Human Rights was repealed by Parliament last year, that doesn't change Arthur's status under that Act. Since the Section 13 charge against him was filed *before* the law was repealed he still faces prosecution under that now nonexistent section of law. That case awaits the outcome of Marc Lemire's constitutional challenge of Section 13. Should Marc Lemire be successful, Arthur Topham and many other Canadians will finally be out from under the thumb of that atrocious law.

Should Lemire's constitutional challenge fail then Arthur Topham's charge under Section 13 will move forward despite the fact that law no longer exists.

Absurd?

Absolutely.

So are the criminal code charges against Arthur. If Arthur Topham is convicted under Criminal Code Section 319(2) it will send a very clear message to Canadians:

You are free to say only that which the government approves of and nothing else.

That is NOT the kind of Canada I want to live in.

Here is the latest update from Mr. Topham on the criminal case against him for the "crime" of speaking his mind and, according to Crown prosecutors, "wilfully promoting hatred against an identifiable group" including a brand new Criminal Code Section 319(2) charge for something Mr. Topham wrote "between the 29th of January, 2013 and the 11th day of December, 2013, inclusive."

Defending yourself against everything you've ever written in a year is no small task and under our system of justice Crown prosecutors are not required to disclose what they're talking about.

Regina v The Radical Press: LEGAL UPDATE #16 -- January 16th, 2014

Dear Free Speech Advocates and Radical Press Supporters,

My last update of November 20th, 2013 focused mainly on the [Rowbotham application](#) that I had applied for in order to have Regina pay for a lawyer to defend me against her spurious section 319(2) "hate crime" charge that resulted in my arrest and incarceration back on May 16th, 2012.

That application was refused by Judge Morgan after a hearing held in the Quesnel court house on November 18th.

Since that date I've been back in court a few more times on related matters the most recent being Tuesday, January 14th.

During the November 18th, 2013 Rowbotham hearing Judge Morgan brought up the matter of the particularization of the disclosure (the massive amount of purported "evidence" which the Crown intends to rely upon to justify their having charged and arrested me and stole all of my computers and firearms back in May of 2012).

On April 10th, 2013, I made an application to the court back asking for further particulars and that the Crown to be more specific as to just what articles, posts, etc. were the ones on the website which Regina felt were willfully promoting hatred against "*people of the Jewish religion or ethnic group.*"



After the Rowbotham application was refused I refiled the original April 10th, 2013 application asking the Judge to order Crown to further particularize the case.

That hearing took place on December 16th, 2013. Judge Morgan reserved his decision until I appeared again January 3rd, 2014 on another related matter. It was then that he handed down his Judgment in which he dismissed my application on the grounds that I was “seeking” “particulars relating to the Crown’s theory.” In the Judge’s estimation,

“An order – as set out in his application – for the Crown to particularize the date and time and the exact statement or statements by which the alleged hatred was promoted would have the effect of limiting the Crown’s theory of the case; something that Krindle J. in Pangman (above) at paragraph 3, found there was no authority for and would amount to an extension of the existing law.”

It all sounds good in “theory” doesn’t it?

Following the November 18th, 2013 Rowbotham hearing I contacted Crown Counsel Johnston regarding the matter of witnesses that the Crown was planning to call for the Preliminary Inquiry set for January 22nd, 2014. Counsel informed me that she would only be calling one witness, Barry Salt, a forensic computer technician. More taxpayer money to be spent bringing someone up to Quesnel in order to “prove” that I was the Publisher and Editor of RadicalPress.com a fact which I have never denied.

On December 2nd, 2013 I wrote another letter to CC Johnston regarding the matter of witnesses (or lack thereof) and that Crown was not planning to call either of the complainants (Richard Warman and Harry Abrams) nor the investigating officers (Terry Wilson and Normandie Levas). In that letter I wrote:

As I’m sure you are well aware the preliminary inquiry is an important opportunity for me to cross-examine witnesses and gather relevant evidence for pre-trial Charter applications in Supreme Court. Much of the necessary evidence for the Charter applications will be put on the record at that time and therefore I feel it behooves the Crown, in the interest of justice, to call those persons specified above for cross-examination by myself, or, in the event I am able to procure counsel in advance of the January 22nd date, my legal representative.

I never heard back from CC Johnston on this matter and so I filed another application on December 30th, 2013 stating the reasons as:

“The complainants (Richard Warman and Harry Abrams) and the police investigators (Terry Wilson and Normandie Levas) are relevant and necessary witnesses for the purpose of the preliminary inquiry. The Crown is refusing to call these witnesses. I respectfully request that the Crown be compelled to produce these witnesses.”

As a result a hearing date was set for January 3rd, 2014. During the hearing Crown argued that they didn’t have to produce any witnesses that they chose not to and downplayed the whole notion of the importance of the Preliminary Hearing process. I was given a fourteen page document indexed as: [United States of America v. Shephard \[1977\] 2 S.C.R. 1067](#). This document, according to both Judge Morgan and Crown Counsel Johnston, clearly showed that the threshold to be met in order to justify ordering a trial to be held was so low as to be practically impossible to refute.

Prior to the January 3rd date the Judge had set another date of January 7th, 2014 for what is called a “focus hearing” which, translated into English, means a time to go over the ins and outs of what would be transpiring during the upcoming Preliminary Hearing on Jan. 22nd. He then decided to deal with that matter too on the 3rd and skip the Jan. 7th date.

It was during this hearing that Judge Morgan addressed the issue of the thousands of emails which were still on my stolen computers and had not been returned to me. I told the judge that they were relevant to my defence and that they should be returned as part of the disclosure package which had already been returned some months ago. The judge concurred with my argument and after some discussion with Crown directed CC Johnston to contact Det. Cst. Wilson and have him return all of my email correspondence to me.

He gave the Crown until January 14th to prepare a response to his recommendation and on that date that I was to return to court to find out the results. When I appeared on January 14th I learned that the emails had been

downloaded to a file supposedly being sent up to the Crown's office and that I would be notified as soon as it arrived. Judge Morgan told me to contact Crown Counsel's office if I didn't hear anything after a couple of days. It was also on Jan. 14th that I first learned that Crown was also calling Det. Cst. Terry Wilson of the BC Hate Crime Unit to appear at the Preliminary Inquiry.

Then came the surprise announcement by Crown Counsel Johnston that the Crown had filed a third count against me! It was a repeat of the original May 16th, 2012 sec. 319(2) CCC charge. This new indictment, known as "Count 3", had received the consent of the Attorney General of British Columbia on the 31st of December, 2013 and was signed by Peter A. Juk, QC Acting Assistant Deputy Attorney General.

The reasons stated were that I,

"Roy Arthur Topham, between the 29th of January, 2013 and the 11th day of December, 2013, inclusive, at or near Quesnel, in the Province of British Columbia, did by communicating statements, other than in private conversation, willfully promoting hatred against an identifiable group, people of the Jewish religion or ethnic origin, contrary to Section 319(2) of the Criminal Code."

CC Johnston then added that no more disclosure would be forthcoming from her office as a result of this new charge until after the upcoming Preliminary Inquiry. At that time an application would be made to the court for an order so that Crown might impose new restrictions on my Right to Freedom of Speech and prevent me from publishing any more truthful articles and opinions on RadicalPress.com.

One last thing. This morning, January 16th, 2014, I sent a letter to Crown Counsel Johnston informing her that I had subpoenaed two witnesses to appear in my defence for the Preliminary Inquiry slated for January 22nd, 2014.

In that letter I wrote:

"Please take notice that I have subpoenaed and will be calling two witnesses for the Preliminary Inquiry to be held on January 22nd, 2014.

Mr. Frank Frost will be appearing to testify on the urgency to maintain an alternative news media here in British Columbia in order to ensure that criminal activities on the part of the RCMP, the Judiciary and the Attorney General's office (Crown) are exposed to the general public. Mr. Frost is a strong, knowledgeable advocate and expert witness in the areas of children and family advocacy and pedophilia within B.C.'s judiciary.

Mr. Lonny Landrud will also be appearing to testify on the importance of maintaining an alternative news media. Mr. Landrud is an expert, knowledgeable witness in the area of judicial misfeasance as it pertains to his own case. Mr. Landrud was witness to a murder of a young woman in Quesnel by RCMP officers and subsequent to reporting this heinous crime to the RCMP has been the subject of numerous attempts on his life by the RCMP. In one instance Mr. Landrud was forced to shoot, in self-defence, an RCMP officer who was attempting to murder him in his home. Since the advent of these events Mr. Landrud has been unable to have his case investigated at any level of government after years of sincere effort and the mainstream news media has refused to investigate or cover his plight. Mr. Landrud will be speaking to the court on the pressing need for an alternative news media that will and does cover his untold story."

The next few days will be spent preparing for the Preliminary Inquiry. I will send out another update sometime after the 22nd and let readers know what transpired on that day.

For Peace, Freedom of Speech and Justice for All,

Arthur Topham

Publisher/Editor

The Radical Press

Canada's Radical News Network

"Digging to the root of the issues since 1998"

Please consider a donation to the [Radical Press Free Speech Defence Fund](#).

Self Defense

If a Flight Attendant can Take Down an Armed Criminal Why Can't We?

On April 19, 2009, flight attendant Carolina Santizo Arriola responded according to her training. She smiled at the would-be hostage taker on her CanJet flight 918 from Halifax, Nova Scotia to Montego Bay, Jamaica.

That simple act caused the armed gunman to release her pilot and take her hostage instead, an event that led to the release of over 160 passengers prior to the commando raid on the plane to free the remaining hostages.

Governor-General David Johnston presented Ms. Santizo Arriola with the Medal of Bravery at Rideau Hall in December. It was in recognition of her ability to convince the gunman to release the passengers. GG Johnston also awarded her fellow flight attendant Nicole Foran the Star of Courage for grabbing the man's weapon.

These two brave women epitomize courage but they are hardly the exception. They are the examples to which we should all aspire when faced with difficult situations. From the [Globe and Mail](#):

She turned the corner into the aircraft's galley and smiled at the hostage-taker holding a revolver to the captain's neck. The gunman smiled back.

Carolina Santizo Arriola doesn't recall flashing that fateful grin, but in hindsight she knows why she reacted that way even though her life was threatened: She had been trained to smile through uncertainty so as to avoid alarming passengers. Taken by her unexpectedly pleasant greeting, the gunman swapped the single mother into his grip and took her as his primary hostage.

This was April 19, 2009, after CanJet flight 918 from Halifax had touched down in Montego Bay, Jamaica. It was there that Ms. Santizo Arriola and fellow flight attendant Nicole Foran made key decisions that freed more than 160 passengers and disarmed the gunman as commandos stormed the plane.

At Rideau Hall this month, more than four years after the incident, Governor-General David Johnston presented Ms. Santizo Arriola with the Medal of Bravery for convincing the gunman to let the passengers go, and Ms. Foran with the rarer Star of Courage for grabbing the man's weapon.

Their stories of bravery start off The Globe and Mail's Honour Roll of 2013, looking at Canadians whose achievements were recognized this year by the Governor-General. The bravery honours include a teenager who intervened when a student stabbed his friend at a British Columbia high school and a Montreal man who jumped into an icy lake to try to rescue a drowning mother and two children.

For Ms. Foran, receiving the silver star was a "phenomenal feeling," one she will some day describe to her daughter, Emalie, who is now 22 months old. "I want her to know the story and be proud of her mom," the 31-year-old said in a phone interview from her Halifax home, noting it was her father who nominated her for the honour.

The story began minutes after the plane landed in Montego Bay, where some passengers had disembarked, others stayed on for the next stop in Cuba, and still others streamed aboard with Halifax as their ultimate destination in the double-stop journey. That's about the time Stephen Fray ran armed through security and onto the aircraft. Coincidentally, it was also shortly after Prime Minister Stephen Harper arrived in Jamaica for a working visit.

Ms. Santizo Arriola, then 28, said she suggested Mr. Fray free the passengers so long as they turned over their cash and left their belongings behind. Ms. Foran, the most senior of the attendants at the back of the plane, came forward to hold a bag as the passengers dropped their cash and disembarked, some crying and apologizing for leaving the crew behind.

Four flight attendants and a security contractor were taken hostage in the passenger cabin, while two more crew – the in-charge flight attendant and the co-pilot – were locked in the cockpit. The captain had been freed under the pretense that he was arranging fuel for take-off.

[Read the remainder of this article at The Globe And Mail website.](#)

Self Defense

If you're going to invade someone's home, you'd best know who you're victimizing

On New Year's Day four idiotic thugs broke into the New Mexico home of Joe Torrez.

What these stupid punks didn't realize was Joe Torrez is no pushover. He's a mixed martial arts (MMA) fighter who takes the protection of his family and himself *very* seriously.

The home invaders quickly learned the error of their ways, however, as Torrez quickly killed one of the intruders and severely injured another before the remaining two cowards ran for their lives.

They were apprehended by police shortly after fleeing the Torrez residence.

A [Daily Mail news report](#) said the following:

A mixed martial arts fighter in New Mexico may face charges after authorities say he fended off four home invaders - killing one and severely injuring another - during a an early morning break in on New Year's Day.

According to authorities in Dora Ana County, MMA fighter Joe Torrez fatally beat and stabbed one of the intruders, beat another so badly he needed to be taken from Torrez's home in an ambulance and then convinced the other two to retreat in fear.

Authorities found the body of 25-year-old Sal Garces near Torrez's trailer home in the 600 block of King James Avenue. Garces, his 19-year-old brother Raymond Garces, 20-year-old Nathan Avalos and 22-year-old Leonard Calvillo forced their way into 27-year-old Torrez's house about 2 a.m. on New Year's day.

Once inside the home, a fight began between the intruders and Torrez - a fight that ended with Garces dead, Avalos being admitted to a hospital with 'severe' facial injuries and the other two fleeing the scene.

The younger Garces and Calvillo were arrested shortly after the altercation, while Avalos was taken into custody at the hospital.

What led to the fatal fight are unclear, but Raymond Garces told police the group had gone to Torrez's home after a previous altercation, according to court documents first obtained by Las Cruces Sun News. Court records claim that Torrez was in the home with his young son, fiance and friends when his fiance's sister arrived claiming to have been 'jumped.' Torrez then received a threatening call from Calvillo.

'I'm big Eastside,' Calvillo reportedly said, referring to a gang. '... I'll kill you and your family ... I will go to your house.'

Each of the four intruders, authorities said, are known gang members.

Shortly after the threatening phone call, the people inside heard a 'huge bang outside.'

Within a matter of seconds the four intruders were in the house.



The rest is history... Don't mess with a man and his family.

**What You Must Know
Before You Carry...**

Get your **Free Copy** of the Concealed Carry Report
and protect your home and family before it's too late...



**CONCEALED CARRY
REPORT**

Instant Access

Privacy Rights

Ford Executive Makes Massive Faux Pas on Privacy Issue

Every now and then someone says exactly what's on their mind, then immediately runs screaming from the room denying every word.

That's precisely the case with Ford Motor Company executive Jim Farley, who uttered the following words at the Las Vegas trade show CES.

"We know everyone who breaks the law, we know when you're doing it. We have GPS in your car, so we know what you're doing. By the way, we don't supply that data to anyone."

That is distressing, to say the least.

His "clarification" is almost comical though, as he tried backtracking from the reality of Ford's invasion of privacy capabilities (as well as every other major automaker).

"I absolutely left the wrong impression about how Ford operates. We do not track our customers in their cars without their approval or their consent. The statement I made in my eyes was hypothetical and I want to clear this up."

From Business Insider's report:

Farley was trying to describe how much data Ford has on its customers, and illustrate the fact that the company uses very little of it in order to avoid raising privacy concerns:

"We know everyone who breaks the law, we know when you're doing it. We have GPS in your car, so we know what you're doing. By the way, we don't supply that data to anyone," he told attendees.

Rather, he said, he imagined a day when the data might be used anonymously and in aggregate to help other marketers with traffic related problems.

Suppose a stadium is holding an event; knowing how much traffic is making its way toward the arena might help the venue change its parking lot resources accordingly, he said.

A Ford spokesperson later told Business Insider that in general, GPS units in Ford cars are not routinely pinging out their whereabouts as customers drive around.

Rather, Ford cars have several on-board services such as "Sync Services Directions" (a navigation device that works with drivers' phones) and 911 Assist, which users have to switch on and opt into. And employers can use a service called "Crew Chief" to monitor their corporate car fleet. Data coming from those services is generally used only to improve services, a spokesperson says.

Farley himself then walked back the statement, saying

"I absolutely left the wrong impression about how Ford operates. We do not track our customers in their cars without their approval or consent."



Gun Technology

Can a High-Tech Bullet Design Really Improve Accuracy?

The answer, according to Colorado-based Advanced Ballistics Concepts, is yes.

Their Multiple Impact Technology (Mi3) bullet “*unlocks and expands to a predetermined diameter and pattern of spread upon leaving the tip of a rifled barrel*” says their recent [press release](#).

Recognizing that more than 90 percent of shots fired by handguns and hand-held firearms miss their targets, Advanced Ballistics Concepts, LLC (ABC), a ballistics research and development company, today announced the company has invented the first multi-part bullet that immediately unlocks and expands to a predetermined diameter and pattern of spread upon leaving the tip of a rifled barrel.

This new wide envelopment bullet – enhanced with ABC’s patent-pending Multiple Impact™ Technology (Mi3™) – significantly improves a shooter’s hit probability in ultra-close and mid-range engagements because the bullet produces a “spider web”-like effect prior to impact.

Mi3 bullets are similar to multi-pellet buckshot in that both deliver multiple strike points. However, a Mi3 round enhances performance because the bullet expands up to 4x faster than buckshot. The bullet design also allows each segment to have a greater mass which in turn produces greater stopping power.

Mi3 is the first bullet to interconnect three separate segments with Kevlar strings that expand like a spider web to a predetermined diameter and spread pattern that not only dramatically improves the accuracy and hit probability, but does so without disrupting the normal flight path of a spinning bullet. Mi3 bullets employ a proprietary “accelerated radial spread”™ that compensates for most, if not all, of typical shooter error.

“*According to national law enforcement statistics, more than 90% of shots fired in life and death situations miss their mark,*” said Todd Kuchman, Co-Founder and President of ABC.

“*Our research shows that these missed shots are the result of ‘last second twitch’ which occurs to some extent whenever a gun is fired, particularly in highly stressful situations. Because every Mi3 bullet offers a wide shot profile, it compensates for marksman’s error which significantly increases hit probability.*”

While improved hit probability is the primary benefit of Mi3™ rounds, safety also played a large role in the design of the technology.

“*No one wants to cause collateral damage when discharging a firearm, particularly in the confusion of a threatening situation,*” continued Kuchman.

“*That’s why the Mi3 bullet is the first to offer SMART-STOP™ technology, a proprietary braking system that can be configured to stop the bullet after hitting a typical household wall or live target.*”

ABC is preparing to offer its Mi3 bullets in three distinct categories:

- ⇒ non-lethal (aka: Mi3 Stinger™),
- ⇒ semi-lethal (aka: Mi3 Stunner™) and
- ⇒ fully-lethal (aka: Mi3 Stopper™) variants.

Each variant is optimized for use by the company’s primary target markets: military, police, hunters and personal gun owners. By offering the three variants, ABC supports the blended use of Mi3 bullets (Smart-Stack™) which eliminates the need for additional expensive weapons -- such as shotguns -- and Tasers that military and police typically have to carry to address varied threats. In addition, all Mi3™ bullets are “backward compatible” to eliminate the need to purchase new firearms.

The company is currently focused on securing partnerships with manufacturers/distributors capable of bringing this innovative ballistic technology to the multi-billion worldwide ammunition/personal defense markets.

Organizations interested in working with ABC can contact the company at (970) 396-4136 or visit the company’s website at www.mibullet.com

Action Alert

The Following Issue Requires Immediate Action

Wendy Cukier's Coalition for Gun Control is desperately attempting to salvage the Quebec long gun registry. She's asked the Supreme Court for permission to intervene on the Province of Quebec's behalf in support of keeping the grossly incorrect database, even though it is unknown if the Supreme Court will hear the case.

Horrified that her annual parade of the dead women from 1989's L'Ecole Polytechnique shooting rampage by [Gamil Gharbi](#) hasn't garnered her more support, she's also teamed up with a Quebec anti-gun group to pressure Steven Blaney, our new Minister of Public Safety.

Complaining that the loss of Quebec's gun registry will endanger the lives of Quebecers as it has already done in the rest of the country, she is demanding Minister Blaney support her request to transfer the database to Quebec to "*maintain security and safety of your fellow citizens.*" The lack of shooting deaths since the database was destroyed for the rest of Canada simply proves Cukier is a fraud with an agenda; that the truth doesn't matter.

Despite Windy Wendy's protestations otherwise, the rest of the nation has not suffered a spike in shooting deaths with the loss of Canada's useless long gun registry, and it is critical that Public Safety Minister Blaney hear something other than the bleating cries and pathetic mewling of Wendy and her ilk.

Please take a few minutes to write a letter to Minister Blaney supporting the Harper government's decision to scrap the long gun registry and to encourage him to continue fighting Quebec's quest to keep that database.

We've already won this legal battle at the Quebec Superior Court level. The Quebec Government now wants to bring the issue before the Supreme Court of Canada.

Minister Blaney needs to hear from Canadians who support the scrapping of this database, as it has absolutely nothing to do with protecting Canadians from so-called "*gun violence.*"

There is no such thing as "*gun violence.*" It's one in a long line of misnomers used by those who despise guns to tug on the heartstrings of those who haven't educated themselves on this issue.

Do we call it "*car violence*" when drunk drivers kill people? Or "*knife violence*" when someone stabs another human being? No, of course not.

We hold the individual accountable for their criminal actions, not the piece of private property they used to commit their crime. That's precisely as it should be.

Tracking law-abiding citizens does not promote safety or prevent murderers from committing crimes.

Canada's law-abiding firearm owners are NOT the problem. Canada's law-abiding firearm owners didn't kill anyone yesterday. Canada's law-abiding firearm owners didn't kill anyone today. Canada's law-abiding firearm owners are not going to kill anyone tomorrow either. Not even when the law no longer requires us to register our rifles and shotguns.

Contact to Minister Blaney using the following information:

The Honourable Steven Blaney
Minister of Public Safety
House of Commons
Ottawa, ON K1A 0A6

You can also contact him by phone or fax at:

Phone: (613) 992-7434

Fax: (613) 995-6856

His email addresses are:

blanes1b@parl.gc.ca, blanes@parl.gc.ca and ministerpublicsafety@ps-sp.gc.ca

Firearm Legal Defense

Police Can and Will Charge You Even When You Haven't Broken Any Firearm Law

[Police are now laying charges](#) in situations that most hunters believe is safe storage.

Mr. Hunter took several guns with him hunting. He kept them in his pickup bed. The guns were cased, covered, but not trigger-locked and not in locked hard cases. Ammunition was carried in the bed and in an unlocked box. The pickup bed was covered with a locked cap, bolted down and an additional wire and lock held the cap door closed in addition to a lock. Mr. Hunter slept in a hotel. During the night thieves broke the cap door off at the hinges. The lock held fast and two guns were stolen.

The police arrested the thieves, impounded Mr. Hunter's truck without a warrant and seized his remaining guns and ammunition. Mr. Hunter faces criminal charges of unsafe storage of guns and ammunition and unsafe transportation for leaving his guns unattended. The police say he should have had trigger locks or locked hard cases and the ammunition should have been in a locked box.

This may sound ridiculous to you. Mr. Hunter has a good defense and should be found not guilty. The police say "let the judge decide".

Mr. Hunter's guns are seized until trial. He must hire a lawyer and travel from home to the court where the theft took place. The trial will be nine months after his truck was broken into. This is not fair but it is true. This happened in September 1998. Names are changed, the essential facts are true.

Protect yourself from this type of police harassment. If you leave your gun in your vehicle, trigger lock it, action lock it or take the bolt out and lock the bolt up. Keep your ammunition in a locked box. This is beyond what the law requires but do this to avoid becoming a test case for the police to see how far they can push the law.

Every year over 3,500 Ontario residents are convicted of unsafe storage. Many are innocent but they do not fight a wrongfully laid charge. Most charges can be fought.

Do not plead guilty. Do not surrender your rights without a fight. **Do not make statements to the police** after arrest. **Call a lawyer, get advice.** Better yet, put an extra trigger lock on your gun and a lock on your ammo box. That is a lot cheaper than a lawyer.

An ounce of prevention, a pound of cure

Worried about being charged with unsafe storage or transportation of a firearm?

Get unlimited telephone legal advice plus access to a lawyer for just \$95 a year. Click for info.

www.firearmlegaldefence.com

Enter **CRF001** to save \$10



Political Action

The Political Action Wizard Free Senate Edition - Download and Use it Today

On June 23, 2013 I announced that the [political action software program](#) I had created for contacting every Senator in Canada was ready for you to [download and use](#). While the reason I created the software is no longer relevant (The Senate repeal Section 13 of the Canadian Human Rights Act on June 28) the Canadian Senate still has a lot of power over the lives of ordinary Canadians.

While they did a great thing by finally passing Bill C-304 to repeal Section 13, the very same day they absolutely gutted Bill C-377, a bill that would have forced Canadian labour unions to become more transparent. They did this and got away with it because nobody was watching them and they knew it.

[The Political Action Wizard Free Senate Edition](#) is a tool for every Canadian to use to write to our Senators and express our views on the legislation before them. As their actions on Bill C-377 proved, we must let our Senators know we're watching otherwise all kinds of silliness takes place.

<http://download.politicalactionsoftware.org/senate-free-edition/>



From The Inbox

I love hearing from you, the reader of Canadian Rights and Freedoms Bulletin.

If you would like to submit a comment about a story that's appeared in Canadian Rights and Freedoms Bulletin or if you would like to submit an article for publication, you can do that by sending an email to bulletin@rightsandfreedoms.org. Alternatively, you can submit your thoughts through the web form located at <http://support.rightsandfreedoms.org/contact-us/>.

Yours in Liberty,

Christopher di Armani

re: Two Cases of Self-Defense End with Prison Term for homeowner, RFB #160

Hello Mr. Armani,

My name is Pressly Coker, from the states, South Carolina.

Your article about my dear friend, Frank Meszaros, was sent to me by his wife Pat. Frank and Pat have been dear friends of mine for over 17 years. I have talked to Frank over the years about his situation many, many times. Even tried to figure out how the NRA could assist Frank, to no avail.

I sincerely appreciate your article and the mission and path you are taking in matters like these.

Where has individual rights and common sense gone?

I discussed this case with my local police chief and in his words, Frank should have received a medal and appreciation, not a conviction and prison term. I do believe Frank made one small mistake during the course of this event. He should have placed a call to the police immediately after the event and which he did not do.

I cannot say for certain that this action would have changed the course of events, but feel that perhaps the lies told by the trespassers would have had no merit and they would have been convicted.

Anyway, thanks for your efforts and please keep Frank, Pat and his family in your prayers.

Sincerely,

Pressly Coker

Thanks for your kind words Pressly.

Common sense has most certainly departed our legal system when it jails good and productive citizens like Frank Meszaros and lets the criminals trespassing on his land roam free.

I wish his were the only case like this but sadly that is NOT the case.

Christopher di Armani



CONCEALED CARRY REPORT

Do You Know Your Rights?
Get A Free Concealed Carry Report.
Protect Your Home and Family Today!
USConcealedCarry.com

Instant Access



FREE Concealed Carry Presentation Reveals

What you MUST know before leaving your home with your gun...

- * Insider gun and holster tricks...
- * Shooting Accuracy Secrets (300% Increase)
- * The #1 Mistake Most Gun Owners Make...

Click Here



BulletProof Your Mind Today!



"The Most Comprehensive Mental Training To Help You Survive a Deadly Encounter..."



Don't Miss This:

What You Must Know Before You Leave Home With A Gun...

Learn More