



Rights and Freedom

Bulletin

<http://Bulletin.RightsAndFreedom.org>

*Freedom:
It's not
just a word...
It's a way of life.*

Gamil Gharbi Day

Because blaming the millions of men who *didn't* kill anyone is *always* in fashion!

[Text like this](#) is a link to online content. These links are provided to give you easy access to the original news story or other relevant information.

Canada's annual Man-Hating Festival is well underway, instigated and celebrated by such sharp visionaries and illustrious luminaries as Windy Wendy Cukier and Heidi "I Was There" Rathjen. (*Gharbi was never anywhere near her but Heidi Rathjen refuses to let that pesky little truth get in her way!*)

The cause of "gun control" will never fade because these allegedly smart women refuse to accept one simple fact: it is human beings who kill each other.

Guns are merely one of many tools used to commit murder.

By refusing to face that fundamental truth "gun control" advocates remove **personal responsibility** from the equation and effectively reduce our society to one of little children who don't know right from wrong; children who are transformed into mass murderers simply because a firearm is in the house unless *Mommy and the Almighty Nanny State* gets rid of the guns.

Preposterous?

GUN CONTROL IS NOT ABOUT GUNS IT'S ABOUT CONTROL

In This Issue

- Montreal Massacre: Alternate Endings
- Anti-Gunner Heidi Rathjen: The Government "will have blood on their hands!"
- December 6th - Dedicated to Hating Men & Blaming Us for act of Single Muslim Lunatic
- Gamil Gharbi Day: a memorial to a lunatic and Canada's dedication to punishing the people who did NOT commit the crime
- How to Out-Think, Out-Shoot and Prevail on the Street, in Combat or Self-Defense
- Police Can and Will Charge You Even When You Haven't Broken Any Firearm Law

- 2 Governments love "gun control" for equal and opposite reasons: they hate guns in the hands of "mere citizens" and love control over every aspect of our lives.
- 4
- 7 Until we teach our children about personal responsibility again and, more importantly, hold murderous criminals accountable for their actions, nothing will change.
- 8
- 11 Yours in Liberty,
- 12

Christopher

Firearm Politics

Montreal Massacre: Alternate Endings

Gamil Gharbi single-handedly changed the face of Canadian gun politics. He became the embodiment of everything that man-hating feminists despise. In their horror and fear they lashed out at every Canadian male, and continue to do so today.

Someone said at the time, “*The blood of these fourteen women are on the hands of every man*”. Complete and utter garbage, but the national media lapped it up.

But what was the real problem in Montreal that fateful December day? Was it a lack of “gun control”? Not according to the Montreal Coroner Teresa Z. Sourour. She said quite clearly the exact opposite, not that anyone in government or the media noticed.

“The issue of firearms control has intentionally not been addressed. With the unlimited ammunition and time that Marc Lépine (Gamil Gharbi) had available to him, he would probably have been able to achieve similar results even with a conventional hunting weapon, which itself is readily accessible.”

Indeed it wasn't until 2004, a full fifteen years after the event, that we were finally able to get the government to translate her report into English. ([Montreal Dec 6 1989 Coroners Report.pdf](#))

Was it the failure of mental health officials? I doubt it. We did not have “thought police” in 1989 (and thankfully do not today either), and until this event, Mr. Gharbi apparently didn't exhibit much in the way of abnormal psychology.

Was it the failure of police responding to the scene? Ms. Sourour said yes.

I disagree. Sure, they formed a perimeter and sat outside waiting until Gharbi offered himself, but that is not the root cause of the high death toll.

The failure that day was with our manhood. Or more to the point, our lack of one. For thirty years or more, men had been “trained” to be obedient, to do what they're told, to be more “feminine”, less “manly”.

So they did exactly what the lunatic with the gun said. They abandoned their sisters to a sure and horrific death. They left the room.

I pray that the faces of those fourteen dead women haunt every single male who did as Gharbi ordered, every single night for the remainder of their spineless lives. They were there when it counted. They could have saved the lives of their fellow students and they chose not to. Shame on them all. They failed the woman, they failed themselves, and they failed Canada.

Let's imagine, for a moment, two alternative endings to Montreal on December 6, 1989.

Let's imagine that a single one of those men had the courage to say “No!”

Imagine if he had gathered his thoughts and his courage, and simply stormed Gharbi. Sure, he might have been shot, even killed. But his actions would have showed clear leadership, and surely one or two other men would have joined the battle. Gharbi would have been taken down in the very first classroom, with perhaps three or four wounded or dead.

That's a far cry from 14 dead & 29 wounded.

But wait! you say, That's never going to happen! Who in their right mind is going to confront an armed madman in a school shooting?

Nobody!

Are you sure?

Because there are 7 young men at the Thurston High School in Oregon who are living proof you are wrong.

Yes, the young man leading the counter-attack, Jacob Ryker, was shot multiple times. He didn't let that stop him. He continued on until the man with the gun was disarmed, and he lived to tell about it. Not only that, he was awarded the highest honour in the Boy Scouts of America for his bravery and leadership. (<http://www.nytimes.com/1998/05/23/us/shootings-in-a-schoolhouse-the-hero-wounded-teen-ager-is-called-a-hero.html>)

Now let's look at another scenario, one that seems to disturb so many Canadians today.

Imagine our laws were different.

Imagine that, instead of doing their best to disarm every Canadian, our government believed in our inherent right to defend ourselves. Imagine they had the common sense and the decency to promote lawful concealed carry for any law-abiding citizen that can meet the same proficiency with firearms and use of force training as our police officers.

It's not that difficult a threshold.

Had there been a single law-abiding citizen with a concealed handgun in Montreal's l'Ecole Polytechnique that fateful day in 1989, the outcome would have been different. It would have been swift and effective.

Gharbi pulls his rifle out of his duffel bag and points it at someone. He might even get a shot or two off. Then some man or woman with the foresight (and the training) to carry would have stopped him dead.

We wouldn't be holding candle-light vigils for fourteen dead women, believing that if we just blame enough men for the tragedy, it won't happen again.

The cold, brutal reality is so completely the opposite.

Look at every school shooting in North America and what do they all have in common? "Gun-Free Zones". Every school has, as its published policy, no legal firearms permitted.

It didn't help in Columbine. It didn't help in Tabor. It didn't help in Virginia Tech. It didn't help in Dawson College. And it didn't help in l'Ecole Polytechnique in 1989. Nor will it help the next time some unbalanced individual with a gun goes on a shooting rampage in the next "gun-free zone".

The time has come to stop pretending we can light a candle to stop the violence. The time has come to focus on solutions that work.

Guaranteeing the death of our young people is not something we should be proud of, yet that is what we do every time we legislate another "gun-free zone".

The only people who obey the rules are the law-abiding. People like you and me.

We do not walk into a school or a mall and start shooting people.

Yet we are the very people the state demands be defenseless in the face of evil.

It's time that changed. It's time our politicians paid attention to us and the real solution we offer.

It's time concealed carry was made accessible again.

Yes, I said again.

It wasn't so long ago that concealed carry was a realistic option in Canada, and obtaining a concealed carry permit wasn't a big deal.

It's already legal. The law is on the books. Our bureaucrats simply deny every application that doesn't meet their "criteria". (read every application)

Let's tell our elected politicians we want them to take control of the unelected bureaucracy and make concealed carry accessible to ordinary Canadian Citizens.

Again.

Firearm Politics

Anti-Gunner Heidi Rathjen: The Government “will have blood on their hands!”

When all else fails, Heidi Rathjen and her fellow anti-gun zealots always resort to one final cry:

You will have blood on your hands!!!

It's as predictable as rain in Vancouver, drought in the desert and snow in Alps in winter.

It's the final cry of those who have failed to ram their agenda down our throats by claiming that anyone who owns a firearm is just a killer-in-waiting.

The facts, naturally, never enter in to the debate for the likes of Heidi Rathjen or [“Windy” Wendy Cukier](#).

Cukier and Rathjen scream that gun suicides will rise again after the so-called “successes” of the gun registry at reducing gun suicides.

They fail, of course, to tell the entire truth of the matter...

- a) **that suicides by firearm were already dropping long before the gun registry was implemented,**
- b) **that the overall suicide rate in Canada hasn't changed in decades, and**
- c) **that the rise in suicides by hanging far outweigh any reduction in the numbers of suicides by firearm.**

That's not wishful thinking on my part, that's the information from **Statistics Canada**, the folks who track this sort of thing.

But Windy Wendy and Lying Heidi are not about to admit anything that doesn't further their own twisted agenda.

Heidi Rathjen, for example, is touted as being a victim of the “Montreal Massacre”, even though she was NEVER anywhere near the deranged madman, Gamil Gharbi. She may have been on campus that day, but so were thousands of other students who were never in any danger from that lunatic..

That hardly makes her a “survivor” of the events that day.

“*You will have blood on your hands!*” is the cry heard from every anti-gun zealot any time a concealed carry law is passed in another American state, for example.

It doesn't matter, of course, that in every single state (without exception I might add) that wherever concealed carry legislation is passed allowing law-abiding citizens to carry a firearm for their own protection, violent crime goes down.

It also doesn't matter that the cities and states with the **highest rate of violent crime** with firearms are the very cities and states that make it illegal for a civilian to have a firearm for their own protection.

The **facts NEVER matter** to these people, and that is the real tragedy of this entire debate over firearms and fire-arm registration.

Instead of building public policy that will **actually save lives**, Windy Wendy and Lying Heidi are focused on the inanimate object that, in and of itself, cannot do anything.

I've said it before and I will say it again...

I can place a loaded handgun on my desk and leave it there for 100 years and it will NEVER hurt anyone.

What You Must Know Before You Carry...

Get your **Free Copy** of the Concealed Carry Report and protect your home and family before it's too late...



CONCEALED CARRY REPORT

Instant Access

Unless, of course, a HUMAN BEING picks it up and uses that firearm with evil intent.

Left alone, that firearm will simply collect dust and rust for 100 years.

What gets lost in this entire debate is not that “*guns are evil*” or “*guns are good*.”

Firearms themselves have no good or evil properties. They are simple tools, like cars, hammers, and knives, any of which can be used to take human lives when used with negligent or evil intent.

Good or Evil are properties that can only be attributed to the actions of human beings. We are the only ones who can make the decision to go on a shooting rampage, or drive our vehicle down a crowded sidewalk, or stab people to death.

Human Beings need to be held accountable for their actions, both good and evil.

When we insist, like Windy Wendy and Lying Heidi, that it's the firearm that makes people do evil things, we are being disingenuous at best, and incredibly deceitful at worst.

It's like saying Paul Bernardo is a rapist because he has a penis.

That's ridiculous.

Paul Bernardo is a murdering rapist because he is an evil man who takes great joy in the pain and suffering of others. Period. The fact that he has a penis is incidental to his crimes, not the cause of them.

Gamil Gharbi wasn't a deranged madman because he had a rifle in his hands on December 6, 1989. He was a deranged madman because he was raised in a culture that HATED women and was taught by his father that women were his inferior, not his equal.

The firearm he used to commit his heinous crime was incidental to his crime, not the cause of it.

Gamil Gharbi was dead by his own hand long before police ever entered the building or allowed Emergency Medical personnel inside the building.

He still had over 60 bullets remaining when he killed himself. Clearly he could have murdered a lot more people if he'd set his mind to it. Mercifully, Gamil Gharbi took his own life long before police ever even considered entering the building.

To prove my point I'll close with [the conclusions of Teresa Z. Sourour, the Investigating Coroner in the Montreal Massacre case](#), a conclusion that the likes of Windy Wendy and Lying Heidi hoped nobody would ever read:

As unfortunate as this event was, it was not an exceptional one from the perspective of the emergency services. An armed attack by a single person is, in itself, an event that the SPCUM must deal with on a regular basis.

Nonetheless, we must consider the sixty (60) unused bullets that Marc Lépine left at the scene when he decided to put an end to this terrible episode, although he was in no danger: no police assault was in progress or in any obvious state of preparation. Thank heaven, he decided on his own that enough was enough.

The issue of firearms control has intentionally not been addressed. With the unlimited ammunition and time that Marc Lépine had available to him, he would probably have been able to achieve similar results even with a conventional hunting weapon, which itself is readily accessible.

On the other hand, the importance of the questions raised in respect of pre-hospital care and police emergency response are matters that are worthy of our full attention.

The deficiencies identified in relation to the emergency response call for us, in all good conscience, to give them serious thought, not so that we can assign responsibility to anyone in particular, but so that we can take corrective action to ensure that better protection is provided for human life.

Some of the questions stated in the preceding section do not require answers, since the answers are self-evident from the questions. Nonetheless, this does not mean that it is not worth acting on them, even though no formal recommendations are made.

There are numerous other questions, on the other hand, that it would be neither wise nor fair to try to answer without first hearing all of the people involved, particularly since the complexity of some elements means that various experts would have to be heard, and this was not the function of a Coroner's investigation.

Montréal, May 10, 1991

Teresa Z. Sourour, MD, FRCPC

Investigating Coroner

As amazing as it sounds, the truth is that it took over *15 years* to get Ms. Sourour's coroner's report translated from French to English, during which time Canada created firearms law based entirely on the lie that gun registration would have prevented the Montreal tragedy.

That is the real horror of this event: that it was used by opportunistic anti-gun zealots to successfully claim that every person who owns a firearm is just a "*Gamil Gharbi in waiting.*"

I and every other law-abiding firearm owner in this country take great offense, and rightfully so, at being painted with the lunatic brush simple because we happen to like firearms.

We are NOT and have NEVER been "killers-in-waiting" as the likes of Windy Wendy and Lying Heidi would have the world believe.

Millions of law-abiding Canadians, myself included, didn't kill ANYONE yesterday.

We haven't killed anyone today.

And we're not going to kill anyone tomorrow, either.

Read the Original Article at: <http://postcardsfromtheright.com/4243/liberty/canadas-firearms-act/heidi-rathjen-govt-will-have-blood-on-their-hands/>



Firearm Politics

December 6th - Dedicated to Hating Men & Blaming Us for act of Single Muslim Lunatic

I can't speak for you, but I'm getting tired of the annual festival of hatred against men sparked by the heinous and misogynist killing spree committed by Gamil Gharbi.

Most people don't know that name because the mainstream media refuses to use the name of the murderer up until two years before his killing spree at l'Ecolle Polytechnique. They prefer to use his "Canadian" name to make it easier to hide the true roots of the crazed woman-hating murderer.

Me?

I refuse to use that name. It's hypocritical and denies the facts. Thankfully, I'm not alone in this opinion.

From [Ghost of a Flea.com](http://GhostofaFlea.com):

Another calculated fallacy indulged by conniving fembot totalists is calling the Montreal mass killer "Marc Lepine" and leading us to believe he was a typical Quebecois Habitant lad. His real name was Gamil Gharbi, the son of Algerian immigrant Rachid Liass Gharbi and Canadian Monique Lépine. He spent much of his early childhood (developing years) in Costa Rica and Puerto Rico.

He grew up in a strict fundamentalist Mid-eastern culture home environment where Gamil's father showed contempt for women and believed that they were only intended to serve men. He was verbally and physically abusive to his wife and children.

He discouraged tenderness between mother and child, as he considered it spoiling. THIS was the culturally conditioned misogynist malevolence that was unleashed at L'ecole Politenique... it sure as hell was not normative North American male values which spawned Gamil's hatred of women...it was cultural and family conditioning but it did not come from a typical Canadian culture or family.

Canadian men have been unjustly scapegoated for the actions of a madman from a misogynist family culture ... I refuse to be branded as a contemporary of Gamil Gharbi simply by the coincidence of my sex.

Well said.



Firearm Politics

Gamil Gharbi Day: a memorial to a lunatic and Canada's dedication to punishing the people who did NOT commit the crime

As anyone following the mewling cries of Windy Wendy Cukier and the vitriol spewing forth from Lying Heidi Rathjen is already aware, today, December 6th, is the day we remember an insane madman and the 14 women he murdered in his misogynistic rage.

Rathjen, in particular, has clearly gotten her knickers in a very big twist. Left-wing media outlets are, of course, helping fan the flames of her rage by giving her free and open access to their newspapers and television cameras.

Lest we actually forget that real people actually died on this fateful day in 1989, here are the names of the slain women for us all to remember:

1. Geneviève Bergeron
2. Hélène Colgan
3. Nathalie Croteau
4. Barbara Daigneault
5. Anne-Marie Edward
6. Maud Haviernick
7. Barbara Marie Klueznick
8. Maryse Laganier
9. Maryse Leclair
10. Anne-Marie Lemay
11. Sonia Pelletier
12. Michèle Richard
13. Annie St-Arneault
14. Annie Turcotte

These are the names that Windy Wendy and Lying Heidi never want us to forget, and that is commendable. We shouldn't forget them.

We should also never forget the names [Ian Bush](#), [Robert Dziekanski](#) and [Orion Hutchinson](#) either, but I don't see any memorials being offered to the men murdered by RCMP members, do you?

Or how about [Doreen LeClair](#), [Corrine McKeown](#) or [Janice Kinna](#)? They all died after 911 was called. LeClair and McKeown called 911 FIVE times and couldn't get anyone to come to their aid. Janice Kinna died while police took their sweet time (over 30 minutes) getting to her home as she was being raped and murdered.

Where are the monuments to these men and women who died directly or indirectly because of the failure of police?

No, only to those murdered by a misogynistic mentally-deficient man of Islamic decent whose real name is Gamil Gharbi are deserving of memorials. While the mainstream media will never ever call him by that name, that was his legal birth name until just a year or two prior to venting his spleen so violently in Montreal's L'Ecole Polytechnique.

I guess explicitly telling the world the lunatic who caused so much terror that fateful December day was Islamic would be bad PR for Islam.

It's an odd thing, this obsession with hiding the truth about the man who, in his murderous rage and suicide, has dictated Canadian public policy for almost 20 years.

You'd think we would want to know the truth about the man who committed these heinous acts, but no, that's not how "good Canadians" deal with things, is it?

Well, it should be.

We should also be willing to spell out the cold, hard and sometimes brutal truth of that day so the entire world never forgets, and more importantly, learns the lesson paid for with the lives of the 14 women listed above.

The cold hard truth that Windy Wendy and Lying Heidi steadfastly refuse to acknowledge is that firearm registration doesn't do a thing to keep anyone safe.

Tracking the every move of society's most law-abiding people, statistically, is an exercise in gross stupidity and is the worst possible public policy.

The Firearms Act has been a colossal failure on every front.

- ⇨ We have turned the most law-abiding people in Canada into "paper" criminals.
- ⇨ We have broken the bond between Canada's most law-abiding citizens and the police.
- ⇨ The Suicide rate in Canada hasn't been affected at all. Suicides by firearm may be down, but [the rise in hanging suicides](#) well outpaces any decline in the firearm suicide rate.
- ⇨ We have wasted over 2 BILLION dollars of taxpayers' money to keep tabs on the people who are, by definition, law-abiding. Why else would they be in the registry in the first place???
- ⇨ We have violated the Charter Rights of millions of Canadians because they happen to own firearms.

Welfare recipients, pedophiles and rapists have more rights under Canadian law than firearms owners do. How does that make any rational sense?

We can't ask welfare recipients to prove they aren't taking drugs before giving them public money because that's a violation of their rights, but we can ask the most intrusive questions imaginable about every single firearm owner in Canada and that is NOT a violation of their rights.

Really?

Firearm owners aren't asking for anything from society at large other than, perhaps, to simply be left alone.

In 2008 I wrote about the men who were trapped inside with Gamil Gharbi as he went on his murderous rampage. The article was titled "[Montreal Massacre: Alternate Endings](#)", and is well worth the read again this year.

In that article I asked a few questions about the root of the problem on December 6, 1989.

Was it the failure of mental health officials? I doubt it. We did not have "thought police" in 1989 (and thankfully do not today either), and until this event, Mr. Gharbi apparently didn't exhibit much in the way of abnormal psychology.

Was it the failure of police responding to the scene? Ms. Sourour said yes. I disagree. Sure, they formed a perimeter and sat outside waiting until Gharbi offed himself, but that is not the root cause of the high death toll.

The failure that day was with our manhood. Or more to the point, our lack of one. For thirty years or more, men had been "trained" to be obedient, to do what they're told, to be more "feminine", less "manly".

So they did exactly what the lunatic with the gun said. They abandoned their sisters to a sure and horrific death.

They left the room.

You'll have to read my entire 2008 article for the rest of the premise. Suffice it to say if a 17-year-old Boy Scout can take down an armed shooter in a high school classroom, surely grown men could have taken down Gamil Gharbi.

If, that is, they had the backbone of a 15-year-old American Boy Scout in 1998, which they clearly did not.

But back to the point of my article...

Windy Wendy Cukier and Lying Heidi Rathjen continue to fan the flames of emotionalism and hatred against law-abiding firearm owners.

Canada's law-abiding firearm owners are NOT the problem.

Canada's law-abiding firearm owners didn't kill anyone yesterday.

Canada's law-abiding firearm owners didn't kill anyone today.

Canada's law-abiding firearm owners are not going to kill anyone tomorrow either.

Not even if the law no longer requires us to register our rifles and shotguns.

Deal with it, Wendy Cukier.

And Heidi Rathjen, just get over yourself already.

Believe it or not, we Canadians, both politicians and gun owners, can oppose bad law and still honour the 14 murdered women whose names lead off this article.

You want to save women's lives? Stop shoveling \$100 million a year down the toilet and spend that money on educating people instead.


Or how about using some of that money for tracking violent offenders, rapists and child molesters?

Oh yeah, I forgot... that would be a violation of *their* civil rights.

Read the Original Article at: <http://postcardsfromtheright.com/4304/big-brother/politically-correct-madness/gamil-gharbi-day-a-memorial-to-a-lunatic-and-canadas-dedication-to-punishing-the-people-who-did-not-commit-the-crime/>

Postcards From The Right

One Liberty-minded man's thoughts on life, liberty, freedom of speech, self-defence, gun control, thought control, human rights, the justice system and anything else that comes to mind...



Firearm Training

How to Out-Think, Out-Shoot and Prevail on the Street, in Combat or Self-Defense

If your “pucker factor” doubled over this email’s subject line, don’t worry... you’re not alone.

The debate over “how big should your bullet be” has been raging since Cain was deciding on whether to use a small rock or a big rock to kill Able. :-)

So, what’s the answer?

Former Force Recon Marine and creator of the “30-10” at-home pistol course, Chris Graham, shares a story in 30-10 from a US Navy SEAL friend of his, named “Monkey”.

<http://readthis.rightsandfreedoms.org/marine-pistol-training>

Monkey was teaching a class of Federal Law Enforcement Agents and said that statistics prove out that the majority of people who are shot with a handgun (of ANY caliber) survive...

... but 100% of the ones “HE” shot with a 9mm are dead!

Now if you just read that the “9mm is the best caliber”, that’s NOT what he’s saying, so let me translate...

Stats show that the majority of people shot with a 9mm lived... but those that faced Monkey with a “9”, are dead.

This just illustrates the fact that the question of which caliber is best is usually the wrong question.

Your ability to put thug-stopping rounds into your attacker has a MUCH bigger impact than the caliber of ammo you’re using.

Unfortunately, most gun owners aren’t able to shoot as accurately “in combat” as they are down at the range.

Chris’ 30-10 at-home pistol training course was developed for Marine anti-terrorists... but it’s also ideal for anyone if you’re protecting yourself and loved ones with a gun.

Check it out here => <http://readthis.rightsandfreedoms.org/marine-pistol-training>

Chris isn’t your average instructor. He provides advanced weapons and tactics training to personnel from USG (US Government) agencies prior to deployment to high-threat zones.

More than that, he’s one of a relatively small group of guys who actually goes downrange and provides sustainment training to them while they’re in high threat zones.


* If you’re an instructor - Chris is one of the guys who you want to be picking stuff up from to use in your own classes.

* If you’re a shooter - Chris is an instructor who is teaching based on first hand experience downrange against determined attackers. His teaching isn’t stuff that worked 5, 10, or 15 years ago...it’s stuff that he or his students have more than likely used in the last few months, weeks, or even days in real life encounters.

I want to encourage you to check out this course now by going here...

<http://readthis.rightsandfreedoms.org/marine-pistol-training>

I don’t know about you, but I’m ALWAYS looking for more and more advanced pistol training programs and Chris’ is a great find! I promise it will help you stop a threat whether it’s a 9, .38, .357, .40, .45, .22, etc. coming out the end of your barrel.



Firearm Legal Defense

Police Can and Will Charge You Even When You Haven't Broken Any Firearm Law

[Police are now laying charges](#) in situations that most hunters believe is safe storage.

Mr. Hunter took several guns with him hunting. He kept them in his pickup bed. The guns were cased, covered, but not trigger-locked and not in locked hard cases. Ammunition was carried in the bed and in an unlocked box. The pickup bed was covered with a locked cap, bolted down and an additional wire and lock held the cap door closed in addition to a lock. Mr. Hunter slept in a hotel. During the night thieves broke the cap door off at the hinges. The lock held fast and two guns were stolen.

The police arrested the thieves, impounded Mr. Hunter's truck without a warrant and seized his remaining guns and ammunition. Mr. Hunter faces criminal charges of unsafe storage of guns and ammunition and unsafe transportation for leaving his guns unattended. The police say he should have had trigger locks or locked hard cases and the ammunition should have been in a locked box.

This may sound ridiculous to you. Mr. Hunter has a good defense and should be found not guilty. The police say "let the judge decide".

Mr. Hunter's guns are seized until trial. He must hire a lawyer and travel from home to the court where the theft took place. The trial will be nine months after his truck was broken into. This is not fair but it is true. This happened in September 1998. Names are changed, the essential facts are true.

Protect yourself from this type of police harassment. If you leave your gun in your vehicle, trigger lock it, action lock it or take the bolt out and lock the bolt up. Keep your ammunition in a locked box. This is beyond what the law requires but do this to avoid becoming a test case for the police to see how far they can push the law.

Every year over 3,500 Ontario residents are convicted of unsafe storage. Many are innocent but they do not fight a wrongfully laid charge. Most charges can be fought.

Do not plead guilty. Do not surrender your rights without a fight. **Do not make statements to the police** after arrest. **Call a lawyer, get advice.** Better yet, put an extra trigger lock on your gun and a lock on your ammo box. That is a lot cheaper than a lawyer.

An ounce of prevention, a pound of cure

Worried about being charged with unsafe storage or transportation of a firearm?

Get unlimited telephone legal advice plus access to a lawyer for just \$95 a year. Click for info.

www.firearmlegaldefence.com

Enter **CRF001** to save \$10

